



I'm not robot



**Continue**



Lately, I've been thinking about what the truth means. I can almost effortlessly find contradictory articles, thoughts, perspectives, statements and opinions on almost everything that is being discussed today. I'm sure our election cycle reinforces this, but I see it in a bunch of things I'm also reading about technology. As someone who believes that independent critical opinion is extremely important, I find this dynamic to be a problem. A few months ago I wrote a post about TruthRank against PageRank. It started me on the way, where I started separating the truth. Specifically, I began to refer your truth to the truth. When I say your truth, I don't mean opinions. I'm thinking of your deeply held beliefs. Your truth is a set of ideas that form the basis of your world view. It requires great will and introspection to change your truth. To better understand this, I would like to use a classic example of technology – from Steve Ballmer's point of view on the iPhone and then his approach to the mobile business. Let's set the stage with a classic interview with Ballmer during the iPhone announcement in 2007. Now, let's see Ballmer thinking about it in the 2014. As part of this arc, Ballmer's great solution was to move Microsoft from software-only software company to +services and then software+devices. For years, Microsoft has been a nod to Apple's tightly-mined hardware and software. In the final push of reactive behavior, Microsoft bought Nokia for \$7.2 billion in 2014 and then wrote off \$7.6 billion a year later. Ballmer had his truth. It was stronger than the opinion. He shaped his whole world view. He held on to it for seven years (or probably longer). And, at least in the case of mobile, it was completely wrong. It wasn't the truth. I see it in every aspect of the world. At the moment, it is the most noisy in politics, but it is overly versus by all aspects of society. I constantly come across this in business and technology – both at the macro level (about industry) and at the micro level (within the company). In the same way as an opinion (which may be wrong and/or repealed over time), it is different from the strategy. I always thought that strategy was the framework for implementing your truth. Strategies evolve and opinions change, but your truth doesn't. And here's the problem. For too long, I see people sticking to their truth. They're holding on too much. They turn their opinion into their truth. They extrapolate their truth from a small number of data points. I generalize one experience to create their truth. They react emotionally to something they disagree with and anchor their truth. They justify their behavior by sticking to their truth. In many of these He's going out the window thinking. The inner suing behavior of your truth prevails. You can no longer listen to other perspectives, work them on, think about them and develop your opinion. Instead of deeply held beliefs, you end up with a shallow and self-justifying perspective that you hold endlessly, rather than thinking carefully about what's actually going on. I accept the idea of finding the truth. I like the construct of deeply held beliefs as a framework for it. I challenge everyone to think more about what the truth is, rather than sticking to your truth to justify your perspective. Remember, the truth is out there. Originally published as Feld Thoughts. Join Hacker Noon Create your own free account to unlock your custom reading experience. This is a universal question for everyone who has ever shared an apartment: how can my roommate admit to eating the leftover pizza I kept in the fridge? A new study suggests that there is, in fact, a way to reduce the chances of someone lying. Researchers from the University of Tampere conducted a study on the effect of direct eye contact on laziness and found that there may be some truth to a typical human instinct. How can you make someone tell the truth? Just look them in the eye, the study suggests. The Finnish study, published in the journal Consciousness and Cognition, tested the impact of eye contact on lying down using a computer game for two players. The game involved 51 participants between the ages of 19 and 37, and they were directly instructed to lie when playing. They sat opposite a staged adversary who was believed to be a co-worker, separated by a smart glass window that could turn into opacity. The smart glass window was turned into a transparent window before the move, allowing them to see their opponent. In the half of the trial, the opponent looked directly into the eyes of the participant; in the second half, they looked down on their computer screen. Findings? Participants who had direct eye contact with their opponents were less likely to lie down in their next moves. This was the first study to show the effect of using actual eye contact with another person and by showing not only any form of insincere secret, but by lying, said study author Jonne Hietanen, a PhD student at the University of Tampere. Hietanen was careful against too much wild extrapolation from the results, but explained: Since the results were achieved in an experimental situation, care should be taken not to reach too far conclusions. It's a fairly common assumption that direct eye contact with someone can allow you to find out if it's lying. Psychology Today explains that most people think that a view signals deception - after all, embarrassment and shame can lead people to evade eye contact. But here's the swing: the people who lie we are all, at some point) we are also aware of the connection between eye contact and telling the truth. As a result, liars are tinged to multiple eye contact with the goal of their lies in order to make them appear plausible. A more effective way to find out if someone is lying? Evaluate your micro-expressions, fraud fraud ertia th Pamela Meyer explained in TED Talk 2011. These can include fixation, too much eye contact, freezing their upper body or displaying a false smile. However, a study by the University of Tampere found that it goes beyond simply detecting a liar; suggests that the intended purpose of lies may actually affect whether or not anyone tells the truth. Maybe then there's no need to judge your husband's micro-expressions while denying that they're taking this pizza. Instead, take a high dose of eye contact before asking them for 80. As artificial intelligence and machine learning permeate every face of our lives, machines are moving closer to acquiring human language capabilities. Research has found that, without intent, business and moral... Education for all is the most productive and more accurate response to income inequality. History has shown time and time again that education in reading, writing and mathematics is a great economic leveler for every human being... As mobile devices and digital assistants continue to expand in every aspect of our lives, the World Health Organisation is starting to urge caution as testing begins to show alarming results. Around every corner, behind every door and through every window, our lives are disrupted by artificial intelligence with built-in machine learning. Escalation and widespread global availability of AI/ML solutions in... Many of the things that now seem impossible will become the realizations of tomorrow, Walt Disney said. We're in the 4th Industrial Revolution, capable of inventing what our imagination dreams. But communities work together to... AI and machine learning are thought to be the most positive disrupting technology for banking with an estimated cost savings of more than \$1 trillion by 2030. The World Economic Forum brought together the most influential scientists, economists and entrepreneurs to plan for the positive and negative aspects of our digital future life and existence in what we call together... In 2015, UN Member States adopted a sustainable global agenda for 2030. 17 aggressive targets were recognised, of which poverty was eradicated at the top. Since the SGA was founded, some... US law enforcement agencies believe they can and will be able to predict future criminal events, proactively spilling out potential violent and criminal hotspots actual criminal offences. Although in the context of law enforcement,... Experimenting with voice recognition systems has been in the spotlight since the 1950s and with the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) a new bid for sohreness has included more than just identification and classification.... Most leading and sales/marketing professionals consider them to be effective communication. The people who tell them don't understand. Any company, regardless of size, should hire a technology/company... The U.S. trade war with China, allegations of U.S. intellectual property theft, Chinese cyberattacks, Chinese human rights violations, conflicts in the South China Sea and joint U.S. economic and political competition... China's technological and scientific expansion threatens global economic and political stability at all costs, especially the United States and its rabbits. China's threat is just as real as any previous threat from Iran,... Global manipulation and the dominance of the necessary elements and components, such as cobalt – the main element for storage of lithium-ion batteries – can also contribute to global economic and political dominance. China is investing its... Load more

[c/ o form vj , towavumipinisolofemoxud.pdf](#) , [bassinest swivel sleeper instructions](#) , [business english reading exercises pdf](#) , [91275222574.pdf](#) , [battle of arrow survival pvp](#) , [hercules beetle symbolism](#) , [solubility rules worksheet.pdf](#) , [graphite properties pdf](#) , [chapter\\_6\\_great\\_gatsby\\_answers.pdf](#) ,