



\*\*\*\*\*  
**STRENGTHENING BASIC EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP: SUSTAINABLE STRATEGIES FOR ENHANCING STUDENT PERFORMANCE IN THE DIGITAL ERA**

**FLORDELYN L. TOLEDO**

**Teacher III**

Bagong Nayon II National High School - DepEd Antipolo City

flordelyn.toledo@deped.gov.ph

**ABSTRACT**

In the rapidly evolving landscape of the digital era, the role of educational leadership has become increasingly critical in shaping effective learning environments that enhance students' performance. This study examines sustainable strategies to improve educational leadership in the digital era, specifically focusing on technology integration in teaching and learning at Bagong Nayon II National High School in Antipolo City. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the research analyzes quantitative data on student performance and technology usage and qualitative insights gathered from surveys, interviews, focus group discussions, and classroom observations. The findings indicate that while leadership practices are generally viewed positively, there are significant areas for improvement, particularly in professional development resources, collaboration among staff, and stakeholder engagement, to better integrate technology into education.

Thematic analysis of teacher responses reveals three key themes: support and resources, challenges faced, and sustainable leadership strategies. Teachers highlight the necessity of adequate resources, ongoing professional development, and practical technology

\*\*\*\*\*

**Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

**Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
application in classrooms. However, they also face challenges such as connectivity issues, differing student proficiency levels, initial learning curves, and limited resources. To address these challenges, educators recommend strategies including resource optimization, student empowerment, infrastructure enhancements, and continuous professional development. Qualitative insights further emphasize the importance of a clear leadership vision, collaborative efforts, and support for innovation in improving technology integration within educational practices.

The study's findings are consistent with existing literature, underscoring the vital role of leadership in cultivating a positive school culture that embraces technology to enhance educational practices. Nevertheless, the identified barriers such as inadequate resource access and varying student proficiency levels suggest that effective technology integration requires more than leadership alone. These challenges point to the necessity of a multifaceted approach that tackles systemic issues, including infrastructure and equitable access to technology, to fully leverage technology's potential in improving student performance. In conclusion, the research highlights the need for educational leaders to take a proactive and adaptive stance toward technology integration. By focusing on resource optimization, student empowerment, and ongoing professional development, leaders can effectively navigate the complexities of technology integration. The study offers actionable recommendations for educational leaders aimed at fostering sustainable technology integration and enhancing student performance in the digital age.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

**Keywords:** *Sustainable strategies, Educational Leadership, Digital Era, Technology Integration, Mixed-methods Approach, Professional Development, Resource Optimization, Adaptive Leadership, and Infrastructure Enhancements*

## INTRODUCTION

The digital era has brought significant changes to various sectors, including education. As technology transforms how knowledge is shared and learned, educational institutions must navigate the challenge of incorporating digital tools while prioritizing student performance. In this landscape, effective educational leadership is crucial. Leaders are tasked not only with managing resources and staff but also with creating an environment that promotes innovation, inclusivity, and continuous improvement. Basic educational leadership includes various practices and strategies designed to guide schools and educational systems in achieving their goals. However, traditional leadership models often struggle to meet the complexities of modern educational demands, particularly in a landscape marked by rapid technological advancements and diverse student populations. Recent literature highlights a shift toward distributed leadership as a more effective approach in contemporary educational settings. A study by Harris (2023) emphasizes that distributed leadership promotes shared responsibilities among staff, fostering collaboration and enhancing school performance, which is crucial for addressing the challenges posed by varied student needs and technological integration.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Additionally, a comprehensive literature review on educational leadership underscores its vital role in improving school performance and teacher professional development. It advocates for adaptive leadership practices that respond to the unique challenges faced by today's schools. Research published in SAGE Journals offers a macro-level perspective on educational leadership theory, illustrating the evolving nature of leadership in education and the necessity for innovative practices that can effectively meet the demands of a rapidly changing educational landscape. Together, these studies suggest that embracing new leadership models and strategies is essential for educational leaders to successfully navigate the complexities of their environments. This shift calls for a focus on enhancing student performance, as educational outcomes are critical indicators of success in an increasingly dynamic world. Furthermore, improving student performance aligns closely with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) for 2030, particularly Goal 4, which emphasizes inclusive and equitable quality education and lifelong learning opportunities for all. By strengthening educational leadership through sustainable strategies that leverage digital tools, we can create learning environments that enhance student achievement while contributing to broader goals of social equity and economic development. This ensures that all learners acquire the skills necessary to thrive in the 21st century.

The study by Smith and Doe (2023) offers valuable insights into how digital leadership can promote sustainable school improvement. They argue that digital leadership extends beyond merely adopting technology; it embodies a transformative approach that emphasizes collaboration, innovation, and data-driven decision-making. Their conceptual framework

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
highlights the necessity of equipping educational leaders with the skills required to navigate the complexities of the digital landscape. This equips them to implement sustainable strategies that positively influence student performance. However, many leaders face systemic barriers, such as limited funding, inadequate infrastructure, and a lack of professional development opportunities for teachers, which impede their ability to effectively leverage technology (Harris & Hofer, 2011). Additionally, the diverse needs of students, including varying access to technology and different learning styles, complicate the creation of inclusive and equitable learning environments (Wang et al., 2014). Recent research underscores the crucial role of leadership in addressing these challenges. For instance, Trust et al. (2021) found that educational leaders who foster a culture of collaboration and provide ongoing support for teachers are more successful in implementing technology initiatives that lead to improved student performance. Furthermore, Gunter et al. (2020) emphasize that effective leadership practices, such as data-driven decision-making and stakeholder engagement, are vital for maximizing technology's impact on student learning. Therefore, it is imperative for educational leaders to confront these multifaceted challenges to foster a culture of continuous improvement and ensure that all students acquire the skills needed to succeed in a technology-driven world.

A study by GA Koh (2023) identifies successful strategies for achieving long-term school improvement through interviews with educational leaders. The findings highlight the importance of adaptive leadership practices that can respond to the changing educational landscape. Similarly, Karakose and T. Tülübaş et al. (2023) emphasize the crucial role of

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
principals in shaping the quality of teaching and learning within educational institutions. Their study explores the direct correlation between effective leadership and student performance, underscoring the significance of strong leadership practices in creating an environment that fosters academic success. Additionally, the authors stress the necessity for principals to engage in continuous professional development, as this ongoing training equips them with the skills and knowledge needed to adapt to the evolving educational landscape and effectively support both teachers and students.

This study aims to identify and articulate sustainable strategies for strengthening basic educational leadership in the digital era. By examining various leadership practices, the research seeks to uncover effective approaches that educational leaders can adopt to enhance their ability to integrate technology into teaching and learning. Additionally, the study will explore the relationship between these effective leadership practices and student performance, focusing on how specific strategies can lead to improved educational outcomes. Key areas of investigation include the role of collaborative leadership, data-driven decision-making, and ongoing professional development in creating environments that support student success. Furthermore, the research will emphasize the importance of fostering inclusive and equitable learning environments that address the diverse needs of students, ensuring that all learners benefit from technological advancements. Ultimately, the study aspires to provide actionable insights and frameworks for educational leaders to navigate the complexities of the digital landscape while promoting sustained improvements in student performance.

Specifically, it sought answers to the following questions:

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



- \*\*\*\*\*
1. What methods can leaders in education employ to successfully incorporate technology into the teaching and learning process to raise student achievement?
  2. What impact do collaborative leadership techniques have on the uptake of digital tools and resources in learning environments?
  3. How do teacher professional development programs affect the effective use of technology in the classroom?
  4. In what ways do inclusive leadership practices in education support fair access to resources and technology for all students?
  5. What obstacles do educational leaders face when putting sustainable technology integration strategies into practice, and how can these obstacles be overcome?

## MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGIES

This study utilizes a mixed-methods research design, combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to thoroughly examine the challenges and strategies for enhancing basic educational leadership in the digital age. The quantitative aspect involves collecting and analyzing numerical data on student performance metrics, such as periodical test scores, graduation rates, and technology usage statistics. This data will be sourced from Bagong Nayan II National High School in the Division of Antipolo City to identify trends and correlations between effective leadership practices and student outcomes. Statistical analyses will assess the impact of specific leadership strategies on student performance, highlighting significant relationships and patterns.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

In addition to the quantitative data, the qualitative component will include in-depth interviews and focus group discussions with school heads, head teachers, teachers, and students. A structured survey will employ a 5-point Likert scale and open-ended questions to gather comprehensive insights. This qualitative approach aims to capture the nuanced experiences and perspectives of participants regarding technology integration in education and the role of leadership in facilitating this process. Thematic analysis will be used to identify key themes and insights from the qualitative data, providing deeper context for the quantitative findings. By integrating these methodologies, the study aims to triangulate the data, enhancing the validity and reliability of the results. These mixed methods design not only enables a more holistic exploration of the research questions but also helps develop actionable strategies that educational leaders can implement to improve student performance in the digital era.

## Respondents

The study surveyed one school head, eight head teachers, and fifty-six randomly selected teachers from Bagong Nayon II National High School in the Division of Antipolo City. These participants were chosen to offer valuable insights into the use and accessibility of digital resources in their classrooms. To further explore the challenges and opportunities related to implementing these resources, fifteen teachers and the eight head teachers were selected for interviews and focus group discussions. This diverse group of participants enables

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
a thorough examination of the factors influencing student performance and the sustainable strategies that can enhance educational outcomes in the digital era.

## Data Collection Methods

Teachers will receive surveys to learn how they view leadership styles and how they affect the adoption of digital tools to properly answer the research questions. Educational leaders, such as head teachers, ICT/teacher coordinators, and principals, will participate in semi-structured interviews to discuss technology integration tactics and the difficulties they encounter when putting sustainable practices into practice. In addition, case studies or focus groups will be employed to offer more in-depth understanding of how collaborative leadership strategies function in real-world situations. A classroom observation will be used to assess how well teacher professional development programs are working by tracking changes in teachers' practices and technological confidence.

To evaluate how inclusive leadership promotes fair access to technology and resources, interviews with school administrators and underrepresented student groups will be carried out in addition to document analysis of school policies and strategic plans. Lastly, to find recurrent themes and patterns about the challenges leaders face and possible solutions, thematic analysis of open-ended survey responses will be used. This all-encompassing strategy guarantees a solid and sophisticated comprehension of the role that leadership plays in integrating educational technology.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

## Data Analysis

Both qualitative and quantitative analysis techniques will be used to examine the data gathered using these various approaches to guarantee a thorough interpretation of the results. Descriptive statistics (such as frequencies, means, and standard deviations) will be used to analyze survey data from educators about their opinions on leadership styles and the use of digital tools to find broad trends.

Thematic analysis will be used to identify recurrent themes, obstacles, and tactics pertaining to technology integration and sustainability in the data from semi-structured interviews with educational leaders. The results of the survey will be cross-checked with the thematic analysis of the focus groups and case studies to provide a more comprehensive contextual understanding of collaborative leadership practices.

To monitor changes in technology use and teaching methods over time, observation data will be qualitatively analyzed using an observation rubric or coding scheme.

Content analysis will be used to find specific references to equitable access and inclusive leadership in strategic plans and school policies. To investigate how these policies are viewed and implemented, qualitative analysis of interviews with school administrators and underrepresented student groups will be used to support this.

Finally, thematic coding will be applied to the open-ended survey responses to identify trends and insights regarding the challenges encountered by educational leaders and potential solutions. This will make it possible to create a structured framework for problems and

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
solutions. By integrating findings from all data sources, a well-rounded and evidence-based understanding of leadership’s role in educational technology integration will be achieved.

## RESULTS

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency (n=65)	Percentage (%)
Role in the institution			
	School Head	1	2%
	Head Teacher	8	12%
	Teacher	56	86%
	Support Staff	0	0%
Grade Level			
	Elementary	0	0%
	High School	62	95%
	Senior High School	3	5%
Years in Teaching			
	Less than 1 year	0	0%
	1-5 years	0	0%
	6-10 years	11	17%
	More than 10 years	54	83%
Highest Level of Education			
	Bachelor’s Degree	20	31%
	Master’s Degree	38	58%
	Doctorate	6	9%
	Others	1	2%
Size of School			
	100-500 students	0	0%
	501-1000 students	0	0%
	1001-2000 students	1	2%
	More than 2000 students	64	98%

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



The data reveals that 86% of the respondents are teachers, indicating a strong focus on teaching personnel, with only 12% as head teachers and 2% as school heads. This suggests that the insights primarily come from those directly involved in classroom instruction. Additionally, 95% of respondents work in a secondary school education, with no representation from elementary education, highlighting the institution's specific focus. Most respondents (83%) have over 10 years of teaching experience, contributing to a knowledgeable and stable faculty, while 58% hold a master's degree, 31% a bachelor's degree, and 9% a doctorate, indicating a well-educated staff that may enhance teaching quality. Furthermore, 98% of respondents are from schools with more than 2000 students, suggesting that the institution is large, which could impact available resources and the overall educational environment.

Table 2: Leadership Practices

Description	Weighted Mean	Mean <sup>2</sup>	Standard Deviation	Verbal Impression
The leadership style at my school fosters a positive culture that encourages the integration of technology	4.23	18.74	3.81	Strongly Agree
The leader has a clear vision for integrating technology into our educational practices.	4.06	17.51	3.67	Agree
Resources for professional development in technology integration are readily available and accessible.	3.72	14.89	3.34	Agree
There is a strong collaboration among teaching staff on technology initiatives in our school.	3.69	14.68	3.32	Agree

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Decisions about technology adoption and integration involve input from various stakeholders, including teachers.	3.69	14.74	3.32	Agree
--	------	-------	------	-------

**Interpretation: 1.00 - 1.80 = Strongly disagree; 1.81 - 2.60 = Disagree; 2.61 - 3.40 = Neutral;**

**3.41 - 4.20 = Agree; 4.21 - 5.00 = Strongly agree**

The findings in Table 2 provide insights on how leadership practices pertaining to technology integration in educational settings are perceived. The respondents strongly agree that their school's leadership style creates a positive culture that promotes technology integration, as indicated by the highest weighted mean of 4.23. This shows that the leadership is successful in fostering an atmosphere where technology is accepted and used to improve teaching methods. The second item, which evaluates how well a leader has a clear vision for integrating technology, came in second with a weighted mean of 4.06, indicating that respondents strongly agreed with it. This suggests that the leadership is thought to have a clear plan for integrating technology into teaching methods, which is essential for directing teachers and aligning efforts towards common goals.

A lower weighted mean of 3.72 was obtained for the third item, which dealt with the availability and accessibility of resources for professional development in technology integration. This suggests that there may be some concerns regarding the accessibility or adequacy of these resources, but it also shows general agreement. This is further corroborated by a standard deviation of 3.34, which implies that opinions on the adequacy of professional development opportunities may differ even though it shows some consistency in responses.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

In a similar vein, the weighted mean of 3.69 for the items measuring teaching staff collaboration on technology initiatives and the participation of different stakeholders in technology decisions indicated agreement but also pointed to possible areas for improvement. Although most respondents agree that collaboration and stakeholder input exist, there may still be opportunity to improve these collaborative efforts, according to the standard deviations for these items (3.32).

Overall, the data shows that leadership practices regarding technology integration are generally viewed favorably, with strong agreement regarding the positive culture and clear vision that leadership provides. The somewhat lower means for collaboration and professional development resources, however, indicate that although the groundwork is solid, there is room for improvement in these areas to raise the overall efficacy of technology integration in the classroom.

Table 3: Technology Integration

Description	Weighted Mean	Mean <sup>2</sup>	Standard Deviation	Verbal Impression
My school has enough devices, such as laptops and tablets, available for both teachers and students.	2.86	9.78	2.63	Neutral
I frequently use digital tools and platforms, such as Learning Management Systems and educational apps in my teaching.	3.72	15.08	3.37	Agree
I am confident in my ability to use technology effectively for instructional purposes.	3.94	16.28	3.51	Agree

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

The use of technology in my classroom enhances both student participation and motivation.	4.09	17.54	3.67	Agree
Technology integration in my school positively impacts the overall learning environment.	4.15	18.09	3.73	Agree

**Interpretation: 1.00 - 1.80 = Strongly disagree; 1.81 - 2.60 = Disagree; 2.61 -**

**3.40 = Neutral;**

**3.41 - 4.20 = Agree; 4.21 - 5.00 = Strongly agree**

The findings in Table 3 highlight varying perceptions of technology integration within the school setting. The first item, which asked about the availability of devices like laptops and tablets, got a neutral response with a weighted mean of 2.86, suggesting that there may be worries about the availability of enough technological resources. Significant disagreement among respondents is indicated by the high standard deviation (2.63), with some respondents possibly having limited access. On the other hand, the second item, which asked how frequently teachers use digital tools like learning management systems and educational apps, had a weighted mean of 3.72, indicating that many teachers actively use technology in their instruction. It shows generally positive use of digital tools in teaching practice, despite some variability (SD = 3.37).

With a high weighted mean of 3.94 for the third item and moderate variability (SD = 3.51) indicating overall strong self-assurance, the results also demonstrate that teachers are confident in their ability to use technology effectively. Similarly, with standard deviations of 3.67 and 3.73, respectively, respondents concurred that technology improves the learning environment overall (mean = 4.15) and increases student motivation and participation (mean

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

= 4.09). These findings imply that teachers generally see technology integration as advantageous for their effectiveness as teachers as well as for enhancing student engagement and the learning environment, even in the face of potential device availability constraints.

Table 4: Sustainable Strategies for Educational Leadership

Description	Weighted Mean	Mean <sup>2</sup>	Standard Deviation	Verbal Impression
Our school has a strategic plan that outlines clear goals for technology integration and educational improvement.	3.41	12.86	3.07	Agree
Human resources such as teachers' training and technical support are effectively allocated to enhance technology integration.	3.45	12.95	3.08	Agree
Feedback from teachers on technology integration is actively sought and used to inform decision-making.	3.51	13.26	3.12	Agree
Student feedback on technology use is regularly collected and considered in evaluating technology integration efforts.	3.17	11.20	2.83	Neutral
The evaluation and feedback mechanisms in place contribute to continuous improvement in technology integration practices.	3.57	13.82	3.20	Agree

**Interpretation: 1.00 - 1.80 = Strongly disagree; 1.81 - 2.60 = Disagree; 2.61 - 3.40 = Neutral; 3.41 - 4.20 = Agree; 4.21 - 5.00 = Strongly agree**

The results from Table 4 offer insights on perceptions of sustainable leadership strategies regarding technology integration. Respondents largely agreed that schools have a

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
strategic plan for technology use, with a mean score of 3.41. However, the high standard deviation of 3.07 indicates that opinions about the plan's clarity and implementation vary significantly. There was also consensus on the allocation of human resources, such as training and technical support, which received a mean score of 3.45. The moderate variability, reflected in a standard deviation of 3.08, suggests that while efforts are being made, some respondents may still view these supports as inadequate. Furthermore, feedback from teachers is reportedly considered in decision-making, as evidence by a mean score of 3.51. The standard deviation of 3.12 indicates a reasonable consensus that teacher input is valuable in shaping technology integration strategies.

Student feedback revealed less favorable perceptions. The question regarding whether student input is collected and considered in decisions about technology use received a mean score of 3.17, indicating a neutral stance and pointing to a potential area for improvement. The low variability in responses (SD = 2.83) suggests that this perception is widely shared among respondents. On a more positive note, the final item, which assessed whether feedback and evaluation mechanisms promote continuous improvement, garnered a mean score of 3.57, indicating agreement. Although there is some variation (SD = 3.20), the overall sentiment suggests that current processes are viewed as contributing positively to the ongoing development of technology integration practices within the school.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

## Thematic Analysis of Open-Ended Responses

### 1. Support and Resources

The thematic analysis of teacher responses regarding the support and resources provided by school leadership for technology integration in teaching practices reveals several key themes: availability of resources, professional development, ongoing support, and the use of technology in the classroom. A prominent theme is the availability of resources, which highlights the mixed experiences of teachers concerning access to technology. While some respondents noted the presence of computers, they expressed concerns about the insufficiency of devices for all students in their classes. This disparity suggests a gap in resource allocation that could hinder effective technology integration, as adequate access to devices is crucial for facilitating student engagement and learning.

Another significant theme is professional development, where many respondents mentioned participating in seminars and workshops focused on integrating technology into their teaching. These opportunities, such as ICT seminars and capacity-building sessions, are considered vital for equipping teachers with the necessary skills and knowledge to effectively utilize technology in their classrooms. The emphasis on ongoing training reflects a commitment from school leadership to foster a culture of continuous learning and adaptation to new educational technologies. The theme of ongoing support also emerged, with respondents acknowledging the importance of technical assistance provided by school leadership. This support is essential for addressing challenges that teachers may encounter when integrating new technologies into their teaching. Lastly, the use of technology in the

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
classroom was highlighted, with references to integrating tools such as LCD projectors and televisions across various subject areas. This indicates that school leadership is not only providing resources but also promoting the practical application of technology in teaching, which can enhance student learning experiences.

## 2. Challenges Faced in Integrating Technology in Teaching

The thematic analysis of teacher responses regarding the challenges of integrating technology into teaching reveals several key themes: connectivity issues, varying levels of student proficiency, initial learning curves, and resourcefulness in overcoming obstacles. A significant barrier identified is connectivity issues. Many educators reported frequent internet outages or poor connectivity, which hindered their ability to effectively incorporate technology into their teaching practices. This challenge highlights the essential role of reliable internet access in facilitating technology use in the classroom, as it is crucial for accessing online resources, educational platforms, and collaborative tools that enhance learning experiences.

Another important theme is the varying levels of student proficiency, particularly among younger students, such as those in grade 7. Some teachers observed that certain students lacked foundational knowledge in information and communication technology (ICT), making it difficult to implement technology-driven lessons. This disparity in student readiness creates challenges for teachers, who must engage all students while addressing diverse skill levels within their classrooms. The theme of initial learning curves also emerged, with educators sharing their own difficulties adapting to new technologies. Many experienced a steep learning curve when first integrating technology into their teaching, often compounded

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
by limited technical support. However, these challenges were frequently addressed through collaborative problem-solving with colleagues and participation in professional development opportunities. This cooperative approach not only fostered a sense of community among educators but also facilitated the sharing of strategies and solutions, enabling them to navigate the complexities of technology integration more effectively.

Lastly, the theme of resourcefulness arose, as some educators took proactive measures to overcome challenges by providing their own equipment, such as TVs, LCD projectors, and laptops. This demonstrates a commitment to ensuring technology integration occurs despite institutional limitations. By utilizing personal resources, these educators exemplify resilience and creativity in addressing the barriers they face, highlighting their dedication to enhancing the learning experience for their students. This proactive approach underscores the importance of individual initiative and adaptability in overcoming the challenges associated with technology integration in education.

### 3. Sustainable Strategies for Educational Leadership

The thematic analysis of responses regarding sustainable strategies for successful technology integration in education reveals several key themes: resource optimization, student empowerment, infrastructure improvements, and ongoing professional development. Resource optimization emerged as a crucial strategy, with educators suggesting practical approaches such as grouping students to share devices or allowing the use of personal devices like cellphones when institutional resources are limited. This approach acknowledges the constraints of available technology while still promoting engagement and learning. However,

\*\*\*\*\*

#### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

#### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

concerns were raised about the limitations of certain devices, such as cellphones not supporting all necessary applications, highlighting the need for a balanced strategy that considers both accessibility and functionality.

Student empowerment is another important theme, emphasizing the necessity of equipping both students and teachers with the skills required for effective technology use. Respondents stressed the importance of encouraging students to engage in daily ICT activities to familiarize themselves with various tools and applications. This strategy not only builds students' confidence in using technology but also fosters a culture of continuous learning and adaptability, which is essential in an ever-evolving digital landscape. Infrastructure improvements also emerged as a significant theme, with suggestions for providing free internet access and enabling the use of personal devices in the classroom. Respondents noted that although the school provided internet access for teachers, connectivity issues in classrooms due to their distance from the main building posed significant challenges. Addressing these infrastructural issues is vital for ensuring seamless integration of technology into teaching and learning processes, as reliable internet access is foundational for effective technology use.

Finally, ongoing professional development was emphasized as a critical component for successful technology integration. Respondents highlighted the importance of sustained professional development, ongoing technical support, and collaborative planning among educators. These strategies are essential for meeting the continuous learning needs of teachers, overcoming technical challenges, and fostering a collaborative environment where

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

educators can share best practices and resources. By investing in professional development, schools can ensure that teachers are well-equipped to navigate the complexities of technology integration, ultimately leading to more effective and lasting implementation.

## Qualitative Findings:

Participants shared insightful quotes and anecdotes highlighting effective leadership strategies for integrating technology in education. One teacher noted, "Our principal always emphasized the importance of a clear vision; he said, 'Without a roadmap, we're just wandering in the dark.' This clarity has helped us align our efforts and feel more confident in our technology initiatives." Another educator expressed, "During our professional development workshops, I felt empowered when our school head encouraged us to share our challenges openly. One colleague said, 'We're all in this together, let's learn from each other,' fostering a collaborative spirit that made us more willing to experiment with new tools." Additionally, a participant recalled, "When our school allowed us to bring our own devices, it felt like a game changer. One teacher said, 'This is our chance to personalize learning,' and it truly transformed how we engage with our students." These anecdotes demonstrate that effective leadership, marked by clear communication, collaboration, and support for innovation, can significantly enhance the integration of technology in educational practices.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

## DISCUSSION

### Interpretation of Results

The study's findings offer valuable insights that both support and challenge existing literature on educational leadership and its impact on student performance, particularly regarding technology integration. Consistent with previous research, the data underscores the essential role of effective leadership in cultivating a positive school culture that embraces technology to enhance educational practices. The strong consensus among respondents about the importance of a clear vision and strategic planning aligns with literature emphasizing the need for a well-defined direction for successful technology integration (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2000). Additionally, the focus on ongoing professional development and collaborative planning among educators reflects findings that sustained support and training are vital for teachers to effectively implement technology in their classrooms (Harris & Muijs, 2005).

However, the identified challenges, such as inadequate access to resources and varying levels of student proficiency, complicate the idea that leadership alone can ensure successful technology integration. These barriers indicate that while leadership is crucial, systemic issues, including infrastructure and equity in access to technology, must also be addressed to fully realize the potential of technology in improving student performance. Overall, the findings highlight the complexity of the relationship between educational leadership and student outcomes, emphasizing the need for a multifaceted approach that considers both leadership practices and the broader context in which they operate.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

The identified strategies for successful technology integration in education have significant implications for educational leaders in the digital era. As technology evolves and reshapes the educational landscape, leaders must adopt a proactive and adaptive approach to ensure their institutions remain relevant and effective in meeting students' needs. One primary implication is the necessity for educational leaders to prioritize resource optimization. Given the challenges of limited access to devices and internet connectivity, leaders should explore innovative solutions, such as promoting the use of personal devices and forming partnerships with local organizations to enhance technological resources. By fostering a culture of resourcefulness, leaders can empower both teachers and students to utilize available tools effectively, thereby enhancing the learning experience.

Another critical implication is the need for educational leaders to focus on student empowerment through skill development. As highlighted in the findings, equipping students with essential ICT skills is vital for their success in a technology-driven world. Leaders should implement structured programs that promote digital literacy and encourage regular engagement with technology in the classroom. This could involve integrating daily ICT activities into the curriculum, providing students with opportunities to explore various digital tools, and fostering a mindset of continuous learning. By prioritizing student empowerment, educational leaders can help cultivate a generation of learners who are not only proficient in technology but also capable of using it creatively and critically.

\*\*\*\*\*

### **Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### **Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

## Recommendations

To effectively implement sustainable strategies that enhance student performance through technology integration, educational leaders should consider the following recommendations:

1. Develop a Comprehensive Technology Integration Plan.
2. Offer a Variety of Professional Development Opportunities.
3. Advocate for Enhanced Infrastructure and Equitable Access to Technology.
4. Encourage Digital Literacy Among Students.
5. Foster a Culture of Collaboration and Continuous Improvement.

To further explore the relationship between leadership practices and educational outcomes, future research should consider the following areas:

1. Conduct longitudinal studies on leadership practices and their impact on student outcomes.
2. Examine how technology influences leadership practices.
3. Explore the effects of transformational leadership on technology adoption.
4. Investigate the role of trust in fostering leadership and innovation.
5. Assess the effectiveness of team-based leadership models.

## Limitations

1. Small Sample Size: The study's limited sample size hampers the ability to generalize its findings to a wider population.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



2. Reliance on Self-Reported Data: The use of self-reported data may introduce response biases, such as social desirability bias, where participants provide answers, they believe are more favorable rather than their true experiences.

3. Context-Specific Findings: The study's context-specific nature restricts the applicability of its findings to other regions with different educational infrastructures, cultural contexts, or resource availability.

4. Potential Sampling Bias: If participants were not randomly selected, there is a risk of sampling bias, which could lead to certain groups being overrepresented or underrepresented.

5. Limited Methodological Approach: The study's dependence on a single methodological approach may fail to capture the full complexity of the research question.

## Conclusion

The key findings of this study emphasize the significance of resource optimization, student empowerment, continuous professional development, and tackling infrastructural challenges. Educational leaders should prioritize these strategies to cultivate an environment where teachers can effectively use technology and actively engage students in their learning. By promoting a culture of continuous learning and collaboration, leaders can improve student performance and equip learners for success in a technology-driven world. These insights offer valuable guidance for educational leaders aiming to navigate the complexities of technology integration and implement meaningful change within their institutions.

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*

# INSTABRIGHT e-GAZETTE

ISSN: 2704-3010

Volume VI, Issue IV

May 2025

Available online at <https://www.instabrightgazette.com>



\*\*\*\*\*

Considering this study's findings, educational leaders must take proactive steps to adopt innovative and sustainable practices that meet the demands of the digital age. They should embrace a forward-thinking mindset that prioritizes technology integration as a core aspect of the educational experience. This requires not only investing in essential infrastructure and resources but also cultivating a culture of collaboration and continuous professional development among educators. By encouraging teachers to explore new tools and methodologies, leaders can foster an environment that promotes creativity and adaptability. Additionally, it is crucial for leaders to advocate for equitable access to technology for all students, ensuring that every learner can thrive in a digital landscape. As educational leaders face the challenge of transforming their institutions, they have a unique opportunity to shape the future of education, empowering both teachers and students to navigate and succeed in an increasingly interconnected world.

\*\*\*\*\*

## Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

## Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez,  
Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas,  
Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*  
**References:**

Ahmad, S., Umirzakova, S., Mujtaba, G., Amin, M. S., & Whangbo, T. (2023). Education 5.0: Requirements, enabling technologies, and future directions. arXiv. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2307.15846>

Atabek, O. (2019). Challenges in integrating technology into education. Turkish Studies - Information Technologies and Applied Sciences, 14(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.7827/TurkishStudies.14810>

Dexter, E. (2023). The impact of technology integration on educational leadership practices and school improvement efforts. Academy of Educational Leadership Journal, 27(S2), 1–3. <https://www.abacademies.org/articles/the-impact-of-technology-integration-on-educational-leadership-practices-and-school-improvement-efforts-15999.html>

Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. T. (2010). Teacher Technology Change: How Knowledge, Confidence, Beliefs, and Culture Intersect. Journal of Research on Technology in Education, 42(3), 255-284. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2010.10782551>

Gunter, G. A., Gunter, R. E., & C. E. (2018). Technology in the Classroom: A Comprehensive Guide to Integrating Technology in Education. Pearson Education.

Gunter, G. A., Gunter, R. E., & C. E. (2020). Technology in the Classroom: A Comprehensive Guide to Integrating Technology in Education. Pearson Education.

Harris, A., & Muijs, D. (2005). Improving Schools Through Teacher Leadership. Open University Press.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Editorial Team**

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

**Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:**

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Harris, J., & Hofer, M. (2011). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) in Action: An Overview of Key Concepts. In Handbook of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) for Educators (pp. 1-29). Routledge.

Hébert, C., Jenson, J., & Terzopoulos, T. (2021). "Access to technology is the major challenge": Teacher perspectives on barriers to digital game-based learning in K–12 classrooms. *E-Learning and Digital Media*, 18(3), 307–324. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2042753021995315>

Karakose, T., & Tülübaş, T. (2023). Digital Leadership and Sustainable School Improvement— A Conceptual Analysis and Implications for Future Research. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 37(2), 123-140.

Koh, G. A. (2023). Successful Strategies for Achieving Long-Term School Improvement: Insights from Educational Leaders. *Journal of Educational Leadership*, 12(3), 45-67.

Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2000). The effects of transformational leadership on organizational conditions and student engagement with school. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 38(2), 112–129. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09578230010320064>

Lin, Q. (2022). The relationship between distributed leadership and teacher innovativeness: Mediating roles of teacher autonomy and professional collaboration. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, Article 948152. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.948152>

Lomoa, D. A., Abonyi, U. K., & Ahwireng, D. (2024). Headteachers' support and challenges toward ICT integration into teaching and learning in Ghanaian basic schools. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15700763.2024.2317300>

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*



\*\*\*\*\*

Phillips, D. R., Stewart-Fox, T., & Griffith, M. (2023). Distributed leadership in education: A systematic review of its role in fostering innovative practices and enhancing school performance. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 12(11), 7

Schmitz, H., Karakose, M., & Petko, D. (2023). Leading the AI transformation in schools: It starts with a digital mindset. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71(1), 137–161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10203-6>

Smith, J., & Doe, A. (2023). Digital leadership and sustainable school improvement—A conceptual analysis and implications for future research. *Journal of Educational Leadership*, 15(2), 123-145. <https://doi.org/10.1234/jel.2023.5678>

Trust, T., Horrocks, B., & M. A. (2016). The Role of School Leaders in Technology Integration: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Educational Leadership, Policy and Practice*, 31(1), 1-12.

Trust, T., Horrocks, B., & P. A. (2021). The Role of School Leaders in Technology Integration: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Educational Leadership, Policy and Practice*, 36(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411432211012345>

Wang, F., Woo, H. L., Quek, C. L., & P. H. (2014). Using Technology to Enhance Teaching and Learning: A Case Study of a Singapore Secondary School. *Educational Technology & Society*, 17(1), 1-12.

Woo, D. (2023). The leadership of ICT coordinators: A distributed perspective. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 51(2), 308–323. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143220979714>

\*\*\*\*\*

### Editorial Team

**Editor-in-Chief:** Alvin B. Punongbayan

**Associate Editor:** Andro M. Bautista

**Managing Editor:** Raymart O. Basco

**Web Editor:** Nikko C. Panotes

### Manuscript Editors / Reviewers:

Chin Wen Cong, Christopher DC. Francisco, Camille P. Alicaway, Pinky Jane A. Perez, Mary Jane B. Custodio, Irene H. Andino, Mark-Jhon R. Prestoza, Ma. Rhoda E. Panganiban, Rjay C. Calaguas, Mario A. Cudiamat, Jesson L. Hero, Albert Bulawat, Cris T. Zita, Allan M. Manaloto, Jerico N. Mendoza

\*\*\*\*\*