

I'm not robot  reCAPTCHA

Continue

Book Henock in Amharic Pdf - 08d661c4be Key Conditions: 1 Enoch, Amharic Millennium Translation, Angels in Judea, 8.2.3 Place Deuterocanonical /extracanonical Books in ሁሉም ኢትዮጵያዊ ሊያኖው የሚገኝ የመጻፍት ሂጻኝካ ማህተር ምንድነው? ለምንምከፈብውያን ከኢትዮጵያ መጻፍትና ለመውጣ፤ ፈለጉ?እሉምኝ፣፤ በመጻፍት ሂጻኝካ AUTHOR: Enoch, Noah (several chapters), Unknown Compiler DATE OF COMPOSITION: before the flood. ORIGINAL LANGUAGE: Hebrew (?) EXTANT VERSION: The book enoch, written in the second century BC, is one of the most important non-canonical apocryphal works, and probably had a huge impact.... Ethiopian manuscripts (Enoch's Ethiopic Book, Oxford University, Genesis and I have discovered that Enoch's book describes Exodus and. Ethiopic book Enoch, since his first translation in Ethiopic (Geez) with others disappears when it comes to amhariski translation of the book. PDF ኩሉ 2 books.good-amharicbooks.com/haynok-2.PDF ኩሉ 3..... Pdf. ኩሉ 7 books.good-amharic-books.com/haynok-7.PDF. Written by Henk Unity Part 1..... The words of Enoch's blessing, from which he blessed the chosen and righteous who will live on the day of THE DRIB, when all constant if we can trust one of the MSS. (B); for there he appears as the Secret Books of God that have been shown. Enoch. And yet the book had a lot to read in..... henk in Amharic pdf.. Enoch's book is an ancient composition known from two sets of versions,..... Amharic is the official language of Ethiopia, and it is spoken most widely in dalen/masters/egypt_babylon/babylon.pdf. 2. Almost all the fathers of the church knew about the apocryphal Book of Enoch and their description..... The Amharic Dictionary says that this word means black flower. cf. The book of Enoch is an ancient Hebrew apocalyptic religious text attributed to tradition The Tawahdo Orthodox Church to train the Deuterokanoniks from Geez to the Targumic Amharic in bilingual Haile Selassie Amharic... Book Enoch : Translated from etiopic with introduction and notes ... Lake Tana, New Testament in Amharic. Jesus Christ of Africa Africa. histoire ... PDF files The relevance of Enoch's books (1 and 2) and anniversaries for modern ... but the only complete text is amharic found in Ethiopia. It includes the Book of ENH, Esdras, Buruch and all 3 BOOKS by MACCABEE, as well as a host of other books that have been weaned from KJV. Enoch is an important book in the Ethiopian tradition, with its theology ... the four-volume litho-graphic Ge'ez and the Amharic Bible, known as the Haile Selassie Bible. Enoch's books. ... H. Charles, Etiopje Version of Enoch's Book, p. xxvii; N. Schmidt, our IEn (in EMML 2080 there is amharic, Enoch's book of the antenatal age before the birth of YAHSHUA Messiah and ... The Ethiopic Church even added the Enoch Book to its official canon. This is the improvement discussion page in the Enoch Book article. This is not a forum for general discussion of the topic of the article. Place the new text under the old text. Click here to start a new theme. Please sign and date your messages by typing four tildes (me). New to Wikipedia? Welcome! Ask questions, get answers. Be polite and affable to new users Suppose conscientiousness Avoid personal attacks For disputes, seek dispute resolution Articles Policy No original research Neutral point of view Verifiability This page is not a forum for general discussion of personal beliefs, nor to participate in apologetics / Controversy. Any such comments may be deleted or refactored. Please limit the discussion to improving this article. You can ask actual questions about personal beliefs, as well as to participate in apologetics/controversy at the help desk, discuss the relevant Wikipedia policy at the village pump, or seek help from the help desk. This article is of interest to the following WikiProjects: WikiProject Religious Texts (B-Grade Rating, High Value) Religion portalvteThis article is within the wikiProject Religious Texts, a joint sub-project of WikiProject Religion and WikiProject Books, as well as a project to improve Wikipedia articles on religious texts related to topics. Please participate by editing this article, and help us evaluate and improve articles to good and 1.0 standards, or visit the wikiproject page for more information. Religious textsWikipedia:WikiProject Religious textsTemplate:WikiProject Religious textsReligious texts Article B This article was rated as B-grade on the scale of the project quality. High This article was rated as high in importance on the scale of project importance. The Bible WikiProject (Rated B-Class, Top-importance) Biblical portalvteThis article is within the WikiProject Bible, a joint effort to improve the coverage of the Bible on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. BibleWikipedia:WikiProject BibleBible Article B This article has been rated as A-Class on the project quality scale. Top This article was rated as Top-value on the scale of project importance. WikiProject Christianity (Grade B-Class, Low Value) Christianity portalvteThis article is within Christianity, a joint effort to improve the coverage of Christianity on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. ChristianityWikipedia:WikiProject ChristianityTemplate:WikiProject Christianity Christianity Article B This article has been rated as B-Class on the scale of the project quality. Low This article was rated as low importance on the scale of project importance. The WikiProject of Latter-day Saints (Rated B-Class, Low Value) Movement of Latter-day Saints portalvteThis article is part of the WikiProject of Latter-day Saints, a collaborative effort to improve the coverage of Mormonism and the Latter-day Saint movement on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. Latter-day MovementWikipedia:WikiProject Last Day of the Holy MovementTemplate:WikiProject Last Day of the Holy Movement Latter Day Holy Movement B This article was rated as B-Class on the scale of the project quality. Low This article was rated as low importance on the scale of project importance. WikiProject Eastern Orthodoxy (Rated B-Class, Mid-Importance) vteThis article is part of The Wiki Of Eastern Orthodoxy, a joint effort to improve the coverage of articles about the Eastern Orthodox Church on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. Eastern OrthodoxyVicipedia:WikiProject Eastern OrthodoxyThrupia:WikiProject Eastern OrthodoxyThe orientation of Article B This article was rated as A-class on the scale of the project quality. Mid This article was rated as Mid-value on the scale of project importance. The WikiProject Occult (Inactive)vteThis article is part of the WikiProject Occult project, which is currently considered inactive. OccultWikipedia:WikiProject OccultTemplate:WikiProject OccultOccult articleProject Wiki Ethiopia (B-Grade Score, Mid-Value) vteThis article is within WikiProject Ethiopia, a joint effort to improve Ethiopia's coverage in Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. EthiopiaWikipedia:WikiProject EthiopiaTemplate:WikiProject EthiopiaThiopia Article B This article has been rated as A-Class on the scale of project quality. Mid This article was rated as Mid-value on the scale of project importance. WikiProject Ancient Middle East (Rated B-Class) vteThis article is part of WikiProject Ancient Middle East, a joint effort to improve coverage of the Ancient Middle East-related Wikipedia articles. You would like to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see the list of open tasks. Ancient Middle EastWikipedia:WikiProject Ancient Middle EastIn the nearest part of the East article B This article has been rated as B-Class on the scale of the project quality. ??? This article has not yet received a rating on the scale of the project's importance. The article is now too long and it is difficult to add information. I propose to create separate articles, at least for the Book of The Parable of Enoch, the Book of Visions of Dreams and Enoch's Messages, where to place the content and typical information or scientific theories related only to them. NTV (speak) 11:31, 11 October 2008 (UTC) Comments I'm not sure how editing on wiki works, however I need to make adjustments. In the article I quote: Although this book is not part of the canon of Scripture for large Christian churches, various groups, including the Ethiopian Orthodox Church and the Coptic Church of Alexandria, consider parts or all of Enoch's all inspired by Scripture. This statement is 100% false text. Enoch's book is not included in the deuterocronic books on the beliefs of the Apostolic Churches - East Eastern Orthodox, Roman Catholic. --MichaelAdly 21:36, 11 January 2007 (UTC) No, it is 100% true. This is not part of the deuterokanonik books for any other churches, but it is definitely for Coptic and Ethiopian churches, as the article says. I have the Ethiopian Bible right here with Mets'hafe Henok (The Book of Enoch) included at the very beginning deuterocanon. ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 22:44, 11 January 2007 (UTC) My friend, I am a Coptic Orthodox. Before you press charges, please prepare your claims, and I will be happy to discuss this. Thank you. --MikhaelAdly 23:06, 11 January 2007 (UTC) Actually I don't know how the Coptic church sees Enoch and I don't know what the editor added that they include it. But Enoch's book is definitely in my Ethiopian Bible with Deuterocanon, and it is most definitely considered canonical in Ethiopia. That's my source. You can find it in any copy of the Ethiopian Bible that includes Deuterocanon. What else would you like to know? ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 23:25, 11 January 2007 (UTC) Hello. Talk to a friend about Ethiopians, yes, they go through some internal problems, but I'll do research. From the point of view of us, the Coptic Orthodox, we do not accept the Book of Enoch, it is still pseudo. How can I edit an article? Thank you--MikhaelAdly 14:46, 12 January 2007 (UTC) Well, just as you edited this page talk. But I think you still have a few more to learn about our standards; which you can read here WP:VER. Conversations you have with your friend about Ethiopians are mostly useless for our purposes. Ethiopians may or may not go through internal problems politically, but this has nothing to do with the position of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church of Tevahedo, which is unequivocal in its canon, and has made several final statements, which they consider Enoch canonical, and part of the Bible. Haile Selassie, whom you may have heard of, even stated this and made sure that it was translated into modern Amharic from the ancient version of Geez, and this is the same version that still appears in the Amharic Ethiopian Orthodox Bibles today. ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 14:55, January 12, 2007 (UTC) There should be a mention of Dr. John Dee and Enochian Magick / Language mentioned here, Enoch's book was an integral part of his system. Maybe I'll add a link at least... For now. Hired 05:47, June 10, 2005 (UTC) The text of the Book of Enoch was considered lost until the early 17th century, when it was confidently stated that the book was found in an ethyopic translation in Abyssinia... I'm not clear on that. Was Enoch part of the Ethiopian Orthodox canon before this discovery, or was it simply unknown outside Ethiopia? It seems hard to believe that this would be considered lost if it were in the church canon.--Cuchullain 05:41, 5 November 2005 (UTC) It was almost entirely unknown outside Ethiopia. For The Jews Enoch was one of the books carved from the Bible by Sanhedrin in Yavna around 85 AD, and for Christians covered by the Roman Empire, the same list of books was shortened by the Council of Roman Bishops in 365 AD, mainly because they could no longer be found in the Hebrew Bible. This is somewhat ironic, because the original reason they were cut from the Hebrew Bible in 85 AD is that these books were used more by Christians than by Pharisees. Code Sinaiticus 15:53, November 5, 2005 (UTC) Interestingly, Enoch's book mentions Mount Sinai, which did not exist in Enoch's time as it was antedeluvian. If you believe in the great flooding as described in Genesis, it would be hard to believe that the launch site of Noah's Ark was somewhere near the modern Mount Sinai and that geological features persist from the pre-flood land of Intresting the question is the translated version that calls it Mount Sinai I would imagine the Ethiopian has another name that we now know based on descriptions or old maps like Mount Sinai? Also, not Kane's antedeluvian grandson? just dont mention it on your page so asks where did you hear that? --Shimirel 20:26, March 8, 2006 (UTC) Antediluvian is the old word English, which means from before the great flood. There is no connection with the fictional knowledge of the vampire: Masquerade. --TheOtherStephan 14:30, March 24, 2006 (UTC) Something I found just now that you could all find interesting..... Only... geographical attractions in Original Enoch are among the In I En.6, angels, angels, Heavenly... descended to Ardos, which is the pinnacle of Hermon. At 13.7 Enoch was sent to deliver curses against fallen angels and to pray for forgiveness. So I went and sat on Dan's waters - in Dana, which is southwest of Hermon - and I read their memorial prayers until I fell asleep. (Peter's confession in Mk.8:27-30 was delivered to the neighborhood of Caesaria Filippi, on the southwest approach to Hermon.) At 13.8-9 Enoch's story continues: I came to them when they were conferring in Leia, which is between Lebanon and Sanzer... Although Sanzer is not sure, apparently Laya'el represented the Jezreel Valley in Galilee. - Philip B. Lewis (CrossTalk) --Shimirel 22:48, March 8, 2006 (UTC) In fact some mountains did exist before the Flood: 17l the flood was on earth forty days and forty nights, and the water abounded strongly and bore the ark, and it was raised at the height of the earth. 18 And the water prevailed and abounded tremendously on the ground, and the ark was bear on the water. 19l the water prevailed extremely on the ground and covered all the high mountains that were under the sky. The 20ffteen curls up had the water raised and it covered all the high mountains. 21 And all the flesh that moved on the ground, flying creatures and cattle, and wild beasts, and every reptile moving on the ground, and every man. 23l God washed away all the offspring that was on the face of the earth, and man, and beast, and reptiles, and birds of the sky, and they were washed off the ground, and Noah was left alone, and those with him in the ark. 24 And the water was raised above the ground a hundred and fifty days. - Genesis 7:17-24 LXX - 66.144.34.5 Someone wrote in the code: Since Bruce's discovery, the Old Church Slavic translation has been identified this is different from, or just like 2 Enoch? No its not Enoch 2 (different content) is the number of copies of Enoch 1 in several different languages I believe. In fact its a discovery that reinforces the belief that the book of parable is a later addition as it seems they may have been in Hebrew rather than the Aramaic I believe.--Shimirel 20:26, March 8, 2006 (UTC) Hello all page becomes a bit on the long side of '35 kilobytes in length' in this edition. I was wondering what you think about dividing books into separate pages, allowing additional extensions on the summary of each part of the book as and when people wanted. This way, the content section will disappear, with the layout section linking to each individual book. Like the way he does it with book giants with each page linking here from each page. Or another way might be to leave the content section The layout of each book with a link comes out for more details? What do you think? - Shimirel (Conversation) 23:10, March 15, 2006 (UTC) I found it quite useful that it was all on the same page and I think it should stay that way if it is not a definite problem. 74.185.204.118 (conversation) 02:23, December 5, 2007 (UTC)ExquisiteDelusions My reasons for returning your work: (Elohim) Really what the list will come across as they say and God so you say the perfection of God or perfection there is God. Another example is Michael is someone who like God or compare with God's name has not changed only the interpretation of his. No scholar in existence has suggested what ale means in God, which makes no sense because a name like Gabriel means a strong man of God, not a strong man in God. In fact you could accept this means a strong man in God aside from your comment, perhaps pov or rudely it does not belong in the article that is what to discuss for. If you think it's wrong to take it and give reasons here that back it up. Although I will exhaust it to just God so someone can take any meaning they like. You changed the giants back to Nephilim (again and in the directly quoted text), Nephilim is the name used in Genesis not from Enoch's book, all the translations that I have seen say the Giants. I had already added a link to make it clearer before I found myself here. The reason to use this is to describe parts of the book rather than speak Enoch because of its pseudigraphaphal! I use Azezel, Semeja and Semazz, etc., because translators would never have written translated versions, such as Azazel. You don't have to just mess around with text quotes if you have a later version (than the notes) add details to the notes section and then poorly leave them in place by all means. The original Hebrew will have to see my story for editing the article, let alone reading it, before editing it. Gods Maker / Crucible / Cauldron you see all these names in different places, so until someone can say that 100% is right it is bad to leave it so. I left your changes in sleep visions alone for the simple reason that you might be right :) I'm not sure enough at the moment to disagree with your interpretation. However, I think that the messiah is actually, and that the lamb became a great animal and had a large black horn on its head that goes along with Jesus so you may have misinterpreted it. -- Shimirel (Conversation) 17:21, 17 March 2006 (UTC) 165.21.7.137 (speak) 07:00, 31 January 2008 (UTC) I would like to challenge the Book of Enoch. In chapter 41, verse 5 enoch asserts that the orbit of the Sun and moon has been divinely revealed to him, and how the Yusa is fixed and will not go off course. We all know in modern era through science that the Sun does not revolve around the Earth. The Earth revolves around. How divine inspiration can be so wrong? The truth? Would someone say it should be interpreted differently? The writer must have been a great astrologer in his ancient heyday, but the book would definitely be a fake. This page is to discuss changes to the article, not the dispute topic of the article. Although it can be argued that assuming that somewhere over the centuries people, convinced that the Sun is moving around the Earth, changed the text, switching the words Earth and the Sun respectively, and that if we hypothetically switch it back, it would describe the actual orbit of the Earth around the Sun (i.e. passing through twelve gates corresponding to twelve months around the circle, from the 1st to the 6th portal, and then back to 1st place on the other side), which would only be my own initial hypothesis - which just shows why we should really avoid using the talkpage for any criticism or debate, except for what can be reliably cited. Thiel Euenspiegel (conversation) 02:12, 17 March 2008 (UTC) Since the 18th century, the Old Slavic translation has been identified, as well as two separate fragments of Latin translation. is not true. Please remove the offer from the article. There are no fragments of 1 enoch in Slavic. Only 2 Enoch is fully preserved in Slavic.--Enochmetatron (speak) 20:02, March 23, 2008 (UTC) See pattern:sofixit -- Til Eulenspiegel (speak) 20:12, March 23, 2008 (UTC) My friend Scripture correctly the sun revolves around the galactic center milk path, yes the Sun has orbits. quotes in the Sinaiticus Codex : A few quotes, as requested, for large bodies, stating the 5-part macrostructure of 1Enoch (with smaller additional pieces): Vanderkam, JC. 1Enoch: New Translation (Minneapolis:Fortress,2004), p1ff (i.e. foreword summary) Nickelsburg, GW. Hermenia:1Enoch1 (Minneapolis: Fortress,2004), p7-8 I expect Charlesworth (1985?) also mentions this in his resume in his OT Pseudepigrapha series, but my copy is on loan until Monday. RH Charles has admitted to literary units - he refers to it, but then again I don't have the material in hand to bring. And indeed, this theory was first positioned back in the middle of c19 by some German scientist whose name I forgot! And just look down on the page of the current article... Don't have a consensus opinion on different dates for different sections to offer you different compositions? I can only imagine that you have some personal academic/religious agenda in requesting quotes on the subject (of all the questionable unquoted assertions in the article!); even to a non-expert familiar with the material (and not influenced by religious problems), it is quite obvious that we are probably dealing with several separate compositions, which in themselves have very complex editing stories. (Naturally, any plan beyond the most superficial lies academic journals, not wikis!) I changed the wording back from some to the most up-to-date scholarships (instead of my previous most scholars). 172.215.181.22 22:53, May 11, 2006 (UTC) This pov pushes, you did not show that most scientists think Enoch was originally 5 different works, only what some do. This is a recent opinion, and it is also wrong, because Enoch did not consist of five separate works. It may be added that this view is unsupported speculation, no matter how many modern scientists take this line. People have been trying to trample Enoch in the mud for thousands of years, starting with the Pharisei Council of Yavne, which replaced him with the Talmud, now, in the age of the Internet. It is no longer possible to wash out of existence, whether you stop at nothing to denigrate it??? It remains a sacred book in Ethiopia not a magter that lies you say. (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 01:34, May 12, 2006 (UTC) 01:34, May 12, 2006 (UTC) Given that the ethiopic version was almost all that was available before c19, the view that this composite work (1850s) is actually surprisingly old. This issue is not even more discussed in academia, but is considered to be the given one. It may be a holy book in Eteopia, but the fact remains that most modern scholars (or rather any that are respected in academic, as opposed to religious, circles) is an integral work. You have to know the difference between opinion and fact. It is a FACT (absolutely indisputable in the fact that they can be moderate) that most modern scientists consider OPINIOMO, that it is a composite work. And this opinion, in their opinion, at least is far from supported (otherwise the expert review will criticize it as such). You are right that this issue is a pov clicking, but it is you who does it. Just because you hold an opinion doesn't make it the majority opinion. You abuse wiki, using it to unnecessarily emphasize your religious opinion, despite the citation. So I went back to my version again. In whatever way include (non-OR, and therefore preferably cited) information on the opposite point of view, but leave THE FACT that most modern scientists (whether right or wrong) confirm the composite nature. 172.141.236.166 14:23, 12 May 2006 (UTC) I quote this gem: starting with the Pharisees Council of Yavnekh, which replaced it with talmud. You might want to read a wiki article about Talmudim. This advice has not been bound, being a deer for ages too early for any involvement! 172.141.236.166 14:40, May 12, 2006 (UTC) You can only argue most scholars believe that opinion, if with typical arrogance, you pretend that Ethiopian scholars do not count. The work was studied intensively in Ethiopia, and well before 1850 Stop pushing your pov and keep the article neutral. ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 16:04, May 12, 2006 (UTC) Apparently there is no actual EVIDENCE that could actually actually someone that it was once five separate works, for the bald assertion of a bunch of Western scholars who are trying to nudge that point of view, and make the minds of peoples for them who should be just speaking the word for it... If there is any real evidence text for such an opinion, it may be more relevant to our article, but no... The Scolans have determined that it is Maccabian, and so it is, because anyone who disagrees, ostracism and disqualification ... You are welcome... ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 16:09, May 12, 2006 (UTC) bald assertion of a bunch of Western scientists? Whether it's a bald statement or not, they are not related to the fundamentalist religious dogma that requires them to learn otherwise. Any early ethyopean study would not have had access to later mss discoveries, and in any case cannot recognize them as significant as they consider, as dogma, that their version is the original. Their opinion should be stated in the article, but it should be stated as a minority opinion at present. And I'm now registered here, despite the rare use (not to mention of editing) wikis. I again make changes to the article in the same place. I provided quotes when requested, and can provide (markedly) hundreds more as needed. I'm exhausting 'most' at 'a lot', not because 'most' are wrong, but because it will leave you with no excuse to let yours at least equal number of scientists, and probably many others who maintain integrity... falsification of other readers who may know no better. Vicky is not a soapbox from which you can keep your minority religious dogma to suppress or marginalize the opinions of the majority. I will ask the administrator to intervene under the 3RVR rule if you want to impose your POV on the article again. And stop changing your name in the ?????'s signature. - it gets boring to edit it back in! Tobernory 16:40, May 12, 2006 (UTC) By changing my signature, you are one violation of the official policy ... Please have a minimum coutesy and abide by the rules... I have not stated anything false, for every expert on Enoch who claims that it was originally 5 documents, there are four others who will say that probably was not ... Your obvious anti-religious fanaticism despite... ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 17:16, May 12, 2006 (UTC) Sorry, I shouldn't have said that, striking through ... ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 6 p.m., May 12, 2006 (UTC) My apology - it didn't occur to me you'd use Unicode characters in Sig - looked like a strange attempt at anonymity :) All display properly for me now..... the typical editing conflict also sighs. And far from being anti-religious I can assure you. However, I quote some of Kant's wise words: Religion without science is empty, science without religion is in vain :) religious revealed truths with the observed facts has been a problem for philosophers since the days of the Mutazilites, and (less systematically) since the Greeks. None of the camps have all the answers. Because of this, both sides need a hearing, so both your opinion and the opinion of modern scientists (which I, unfortunately, share, from the point of view of some familiarity with the text) should be listed in the article. Your opinion remains the opinion of the religious scholarship, and my academic scholarship. Without coming to judgment about the value of their individual conclusions, most recognize that research in the modern world is governed by ideals after enlightenment, namely on the basis of evidence! Where there is a refusal to move in accordance with time, it inevitably devalues any opinions formed in such circumstances. Tobernory 17:30, May 12, 2006 (UTC) Okay, but it really isn't quite that easy to say that my view of a religious scholarship... It depends on what religion you are talking about... Most scholars who first said it was not canonical were after all religious... And many secular scholars like Wossene say that it was all one document... But you are entitled to your point of view, although I have never seen anything really convincing in the text of the Maccabees date, and it takes a little better argument than because we should not say what the Church says... ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 17:37, May 12, 2006 (UTC) A person may be religious, but that does not mean that their academic results will reflect their religious beliefs. Moreover, one who does not have a religion cannot be free of its influence. An academic scholarship tries to renounce an external interest (although it may never be entirely successful in doing so) to achieve the truth. A religious scholarship has a religious motive

Book Henock in Amharic Pdf - 08d661c4be Key Conditions: 1 Enoch, Amharic Millennium Translation, Angels in Judea, 8.2.3 Place Deuterocanonical /extracanonical Books in ሁሉም ኢትዮጵያዊ ሊያኖው የሚገኝ የመጻፍት ሂጻኝካ ማህተር ምንድነው? ለምንምከፈብውያን ከኢትዮጵያ መጻፍትና ለመውጣ፤ ፈለጉ?እሉምኝ፣፤ በመጻፍት ሂጻኝካ AUTHOR: Enoch, Noah (several chapters), Unknown Compiler DATE OF COMPOSITION: before the flood. ORIGINAL LANGUAGE: Hebrew (?) EXTANT VERSION: The book enoch, written in the second century BC, is one of the most important non-canonical apocryphal works, and probably had a huge impact.... Ethiopian manuscripts (Enoch's Ethiopic Book, Oxford University, Genesis and I have discovered that Enoch's book describes Exodus and. Ethiopic book Enoch, since his first translation in Ethiopic (Geez) with others disappears when it comes to amhariski translation of the book. PDF ኩሉ 2 books.good-amharicbooks.com/haynok-2.PDF ኩሉ 3..... Pdf. ኩሉ 7 books.good-amharic-books.com/haynok-7.PDF. Written by Henk Unity Part 1..... The words of Enoch's blessing, from which he blessed the chosen and righteous who will live on the day of THE DRIB, when all constant if we can trust one of the MSS. (B); for there he appears as the Secret Books of God that have been shown. Enoch. And yet the book had a lot to read in..... henk in Amharic pdf.. Enoch's book is an ancient composition known from two sets of versions,..... Amharic is the official language of Ethiopia, and it is spoken most widely in dalen/masters/egypt_babylon/babylon.pdf. 2. Almost all the fathers of the church knew about the apocryphal Book of Enoch and their description..... The Amharic Dictionary says that this word means black flower. cf. The book of Enoch is an ancient Hebrew apocalyptic religious text attributed to tradition The Tawahdo Orthodox Church to train the Deuterokanoniks from Geez to the Targumic Amharic in bilingual Haile Selassie Amharic... Book Enoch : Translated from etiopic with introduction and notes ... Lake Tana, New Testament in Amharic. Jesus Christ of Africa Africa. histoire ... PDF files The relevance of Enoch's books (1 and 2) and anniversaries for modern ... but the only complete text is amharic found in Ethiopia. It includes the Book of ENH, Esdras, Buruch and all 3 BOOKS by MACCABEE, as well as a host of other books that have been weaned from KJV. Enoch is an important book in the Ethiopian tradition, with its theology ... the four-volume litho-graphic Ge'ez and the Amharic Bible, known as the Haile Selassie Bible. Enoch's books. ... H. Charles, Etiopje Version of Enoch's Book, p. xxvii; N. Schmidt, our IEn (in EMML 2080 there is amharic, Enoch's book of the antenatal age before the birth of YAHSHUA Messiah and ... The Ethiopic Church even added the Enoch Book to its official canon. This is the improvement discussion page in the Enoch Book article. This is not a forum for general discussion of the topic of the article. Place the new text under the old text. Click here to start a new theme. Please sign and date your messages by typing four tildes (me). New to Wikipedia? Welcome! Ask questions, get answers. Be polite and affable to new users Suppose conscientiousness Avoid personal attacks For disputes, seek dispute resolution Articles Policy No original research Neutral point of view Verifiability This page is not a forum for general discussion of personal beliefs, nor to participate in apologetics / Controversy. Any such comments may be deleted or refactored. Please limit the discussion to improving this article. You can ask actual questions about personal beliefs, as well as to participate in apologetics/controversy at the help desk, discuss the relevant Wikipedia policy at the village pump, or seek help from the help desk. This article is of interest to the following WikiProjects: WikiProject Religious Texts (B-Grade Rating, High Value) Religion portalvteThis article is within the wikiProject Religious Texts, a joint sub-project of WikiProject Religion and WikiProject Books, as well as a project to improve Wikipedia articles on religious texts related to topics. Please participate by editing this article, and help us evaluate and improve articles to good and 1.0 standards, or visit the wikiproject page for more information. Religious textsWikipedia:WikiProject Religious textsTemplate:WikiProject Religious textsReligious texts Article B This article was rated as B-grade on the scale of the project quality. High This article was rated as high in importance on the scale of project importance. The Bible WikiProject (Rated B-Class, Top-importance) Biblical portalvteThis article is within the WikiProject Bible, a joint effort to improve the coverage of the Bible on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. BibleWikipedia:WikiProject BibleBible Article B This article has been rated as A-Class on the project quality scale. Top This article was rated as Top-value on the scale of project importance. WikiProject Christianity (Grade B-Class, Low Value) Christianity portalvteThis article is within Christianity, a joint effort to improve the coverage of Christianity on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. ChristianityWikipedia:WikiProject ChristianityTemplate:WikiProject Christianity Christianity Article B This article has been rated as B-Class on the scale of the project quality. Low This article was rated as low importance on the scale of project importance. The WikiProject of Latter-day Saints (Rated B-Class, Low Value) Movement of Latter-day Saints portalvteThis article is part of the WikiProject of Latter-day Saints, a collaborative effort to improve the coverage of Mormonism and the Latter-day Saint movement on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. Latter-day MovementWikipedia:WikiProject Last Day of the Holy MovementTemplate:WikiProject Last Day of the Holy Movement Latter Day Holy Movement B This article was rated as B-Class on the scale of the project quality. Low This article was rated as low importance on the scale of project importance. WikiProject Eastern Orthodoxy (Rated B-Class, Mid-Importance) vteThis article is part of The Wiki Of Eastern Orthodoxy, a joint effort to improve the coverage of articles about the Eastern Orthodox Church on Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. Eastern OrthodoxyVicipedia:WikiProject Eastern OrthodoxyThrupia:WikiProject Eastern OrthodoxyThe orientation of Article B This article was rated as A-class on the scale of the project quality. Mid This article was rated as Mid-value on the scale of project importance. The WikiProject Occult (Inactive)vteThis article is part of the WikiProject Occult project, which is currently considered inactive. OccultWikipedia:WikiProject OccultTemplate:WikiProject OccultOccult articleProject Wiki Ethiopia (B-Grade Score, Mid-Value) vteThis article is within WikiProject Ethiopia, a joint effort to improve Ethiopia's coverage in Wikipedia. If you want to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see a list of open tasks. EthiopiaWikipedia:WikiProject EthiopiaTemplate:WikiProject EthiopiaThiopia Article B This article has been rated as A-Class on the scale of project quality. Mid This article was rated as Mid-value on the scale of project importance. WikiProject Ancient Middle East (Rated B-Class) vteThis article is part of WikiProject Ancient Middle East, a joint effort to improve coverage of the Ancient Middle East-related Wikipedia articles. You would like to participate, please visit the project page where you can join the discussion and see the list of open tasks. Ancient Middle EastWikipedia:WikiProject Ancient Middle EastIn the nearest part of the East article B This article has been rated as B-Class on the scale of the project quality. ??? This article has not yet received a rating on the scale of the project's importance. The article is now too long and it is difficult to add information. I propose to create separate articles, at least for the Book of The Parable of Enoch, the Book of Visions of Dreams and Enoch's Messages, where to place the content and typical information or scientific theories related only to them. NTV (speak) 11:31, 11 October 2008 (UTC) Comments I'm not sure how editing on wiki works, however I need to make adjustments. In the article I quote: Although this book is not part of the canon of Scripture for large Christian churches, various groups, including the Ethiopian Orthodox Church and the Coptic Church of Alexandria, consider parts or all of Enoch's all inspired by Scripture. This statement is 100% false text. Enoch's book is not included in the deuterocronic books on the beliefs of the Apostolic Churches - East Eastern Orthodox, Roman Catholic. --MichaelAdly 21:36, 11 January 2007 (UTC) No, it is 100% true. This is not part of the deuterokanonik books for any other churches, but it is definitely for Coptic and Ethiopian churches, as the article says. I have the Ethiopian Bible right here with Mets'hafe Henok (The Book of Enoch) included at the very beginning deuterocanon. ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 22:44, 11 January 2007 (UTC) My friend, I am a Coptic Orthodox. Before you press charges, please prepare your claims, and I will be happy to discuss this. Thank you. --MikhaelAdly 23:06, 11 January 2007 (UTC) Actually I don't know how the Coptic church sees Enoch and I don't know what the editor added that they include it. But Enoch's book is definitely in my Ethiopian Bible with Deuterocanon, and it is most definitely considered canonical in Ethiopia. That's my source. You can find it in any copy of the Ethiopian Bible that includes Deuterocanon. What else would you like to know? ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 23:25, 11 January 2007 (UTC) Hello. Talk to a friend about Ethiopians, yes, they go through some internal problems, but I'll do research. From the point of view of us, the Coptic Orthodox, we do not accept the Book of Enoch, it is still pseudo. How can I edit an article? Thank you--MikhaelAdly 14:46, 12 January 2007 (UTC) Well, just as you edited this page talk. But I think you still have a few more to learn about our standards; which you can read here WP:VER. Conversations you have with your friend about Ethiopians are mostly useless for our purposes. Ethiopians may or may not go through internal problems politically, but this has nothing to do with the position of the Ethiopian Orthodox Church of Tevahedo, which is unequivocal in its canon, and has made several final statements, which they consider Enoch canonical, and part of the Bible. Haile Selassie, whom you may have heard of, even stated this and made sure that it was translated into modern Amharic from the ancient version of Geez, and this is the same version that still appears in the Amharic Ethiopian Orthodox Bibles today. ፈ.ቃደ (ጠ-ዩ-ዩ-ጉ) 14:55, January 12, 2007 (UTC) There should be a mention of Dr. John Dee and Enochian Magick / Language mentioned here, Enoch's book was an integral part of his system. Maybe I'll add a link at least... For now. Hired 05:47, June 10, 2005 (UTC) The text of the Book of Enoch was considered lost until the early 17th century, when it was confidently stated that the book was found in an ethyopic translation in Abyssinia... I'm not clear on that. Was Enoch part of the Ethiopian Orthodox canon before this discovery, or was it simply unknown outside Ethiopia? It seems hard to believe that this would be considered lost if it were in the church canon.--Cuchullain 05:41, 5 November 2005 (UTC) It was almost entirely unknown outside Ethiopia. For The Jews Enoch was one of the books carved from the Bible by Sanhedrin in Yavna around 85 AD, and for Christians covered by the Roman Empire, the same list of books was shortened by the Council of Roman Bishops in 365 AD, mainly because they could no longer be found in the Hebrew Bible. This is somewhat ironic, because the original reason they were cut from the Hebrew Bible in 85 AD is that these books were used more by Christians than by Pharisees. Code Sinaiticus 15:53, November 5, 2005 (UTC) Interestingly, Enoch's book mentions Mount Sinai, which did not exist in Enoch's time as it was antedeluvian. If you believe in the great flooding as described in Genesis, it would be hard to believe that the launch site of Noah's Ark was somewhere near the modern Mount Sinai and that geological features persist from the pre-flood land of Intresting the question is the translated version that calls it Mount Sinai I would imagine the Ethiopian has another name that we now know based on descriptions or old maps like Mount Sinai? Also, not Kane's antedeluvian grandson? just dont mention it on your page so asks where did you hear that? --Shimirel 20:26, March 8, 2006 (UTC) Antediluvian is the old word English, which means from before the great flood. There is no connection with the fictional knowledge of the vampire: Masquerade. --TheOtherStephan 14:30, March 24, 2006 (UTC) Something I found just now that you could all find interesting..... Only... geographical attractions in Original Enoch are among the In I En.6, angels, angels, Heavenly... descended to Ardos, which is the pinnacle of Hermon. At 13.7 Enoch was sent to deliver curses against fallen angels and to pray for forgiveness. So I went and sat on Dan's waters - in Dana, which is southwest of Hermon - and I read their memorial prayers until I fell asleep. (Peter's confession in Mk.8:27-30 was delivered to the neighborhood of Caesaria Filippi, on the southwest approach to Hermon.) At 13.8-9 Enoch's story continues: I came to them when they were conferring in Leia, which is between Lebanon and Sanzer... Although Sanzer is not sure, apparently Laya'el represented the Jezreel Valley in Galilee. - Philip B. Lewis (CrossTalk) --Shimirel 22:48, March 8, 2006 (UTC) In fact some mountains did exist before the Flood: 17l the flood was on earth forty days and forty nights, and the water abounded strongly and bore the ark, and it was raised at the height of the earth. 18 And the water prevailed and abounded tremendously on the ground, and the ark was bear on the water. 19l the water prevailed extremely on the ground and covered all the high mountains that were under the sky. The 20ffteen curls up had the water raised and it covered all the high mountains. 21 And all the flesh that moved on the ground, flying creatures and cattle, and wild beasts, and every reptile moving on the ground, and every man. 23l God washed away all the offspring that was on the face of the earth, and man, and beast, and reptiles, and birds of the sky, and they were washed off the ground, and Noah was left alone, and those with him in the ark. 24 And the water was raised above the ground a hundred and fifty days. - Genesis 7:17-24 LXX - 66.144.34.5 Someone wrote in the code: Since Bruce's discovery, the Old Church Slavic translation has been identified this is different from, or just like 2 Enoch? No its not Enoch 2 (different content) is the number of copies of Enoch 1 in several different languages I believe. In fact its a discovery that reinforces the belief that the book of parable is a later addition as it seems they may have been in Hebrew rather than the Aramaic I believe.--Shimirel 20:26, March 8, 2006 (UTC) Hello all page becomes a bit on the long side of '35 kilobytes in length' in this edition. I was wondering what you think about dividing books into separate pages, allowing additional extensions on the summary of each part of the book as and when people wanted. This way, the content section will disappear, with the layout section linking to each individual book. Like the way he does it with book giants with each page linking here from each page. Or another way might be to leave the content section The layout of each book with a link comes out for more details? What do you think? - Shimirel (Conversation) 23:10, March 15, 2006 (UTC) I found it quite useful that it was all on the same page and I think it should stay that way if it is not a definite problem. 74.185.204.118 (conversation) 02:23, December 5, 2007 (UTC)ExquisiteDelusions My reasons for returning your work: (Elohim) Really what the list will come across as they say and God so you say the perfection of God or perfection there is God. Another example is Michael is someone who like God or compare with God's name has not changed only the interpretation of his. No scholar in existence has suggested what ale means in God, which makes no sense because a name like Gabriel means a strong man of God, not a strong man in God. In fact you could accept this means a strong man in God aside from your comment, perhaps pov or rudely it does not belong in the article that is what to discuss for. If you think it's wrong to take it and give reasons here that back it up. Although I will exhaust it to just God so someone can take any meaning they like. You changed the giants back to Nephilim (again and in the directly quoted text), Nephilim is the name used in Genesis not from Enoch's book, all the translations that I have seen say the Giants. I had already added a link to make it clearer before I found myself here. The reason to use this is to describe parts of the book rather than speak Enoch because of its pseudigraphaphal! I use Azezel, Semeja and Semazz, etc., because translators would never have written translated versions, such as Azazel. You don't have to just mess around with text quotes if you have a later version (than the notes) add details to the notes section and then poorly leave them in place by all means. The original Hebrew will have to see my story for editing the article, let alone reading it, before editing it. Gods Maker / Crucible / Cauldron you see all these names in different places, so until someone can say that 100% is right it is bad to leave it so. I left your changes in sleep visions alone for the simple reason that you might be right :) I'm not sure enough at the moment to disagree with your interpretation. However, I think that the messiah is actually, and that the lamb became a great animal and had a large black horn on its head that goes along with Jesus so you may have misinterpreted it. -- Shimirel (Conversation) 17:21, 17 March 2006 (UTC) 165.21.7.137 (speak) 07:00, 31 January 2008 (UTC) I would like to challenge the Book of Enoch. In chapter 41, verse 5 enoch asserts that the orbit of the Sun and moon has been divinely revealed to him, and how the Yusa is fixed and will not go off course. We all know in modern era through science that the Sun does not revolve around the Earth. The Earth revolves around. How divine inspiration can be so wrong? The truth? Would someone say it should be interpreted differently? The writer must have been a great astrologer in his ancient heyday, but the book would definitely be a fake. This page is to discuss changes to the article, not the dispute topic of the article. Although it can be argued that assuming that somewhere over the centuries people, convinced that the Sun is moving around the Earth, changed the text, switching the words Earth and the Sun respectively, and that if we hypothetically switch it back, it would describe the actual orbit of the Earth around the Sun (i.e. passing through twelve gates corresponding to twelve months around the circle, from the 1st to the 6th portal, and then back to 1st place on the other side), which would only be my own initial hypothesis - which just shows why we should really avoid using the talkpage for any criticism or debate, except for what can be reliably cited. Thiel Euenspiegel (conversation) 02:12, 17 March 2008 (UTC) Since the 18th century, the Old Slavic translation has been identified, as well as two separate fragments of Latin translation. is not true. Please remove the offer from the article. There are no fragments of 1 enoch in Slavic. Only 2 Enoch is fully preserved in Slavic.--Enochmetatron (speak) 20:02, March 23, 2008 (UTC) See pattern:sofix

