



I'm not robot



Continue

Utla voter guide

State and local candidates paid for by fuse votes. Not authorized by any candidate or committee of a candidate. Address: 1402 3rd Ave, Suite 406. Seattle, Washington 98101. Top 5 contributors: WA State Council of Firefighters Support Team (Fast PAC), New Directions PAC, SEIU 775 Quality Care Commission, Justice for All PAC, Our Vote Counting; Pac's top 3 donors: SEIU, D.C. Education Association, and SEIU Healthcare 1199NW. Ballot measures paid for by fuse voters. Not authorized by any candidate or committee of a candidate. Address: 1402 3rd Ave, Suite 406. Seattle, Washington 98101. Top 5 donors: Justice per PAC, SEIU Initiative Foundation, SEIU 775 Voting Fund, Fused Washington. PAC's top 3 contributors: SEIU, SEIU 775, SEIU Local 925. Federal applicants paid for by a Washington fuse. Not authorized by any candidate or committee of a candidate. Address: 1402 3rd Ave, Suite 406. Seattle, Washington 98101. Privacy Policy | Terms and Conditions The guide of future left-wing voters is not just a list of recommendations, which should be consulted like a column of reviews of restaurants or movies. Instead, we — members of the future left — see it as a soci-called model for social decision. It was a research project that brought together a large number of community members, activists, researchers, cultural producers and organizations like the Future Left, the People's City Council, SafeLAPL, In This Together, and Angela Atlas, among others, to consider strategies for voting in November and agree on a common direction, despite differences in origin, age, race, gender, sexuality, competence and levels of political experience. The instructor is not undecided on authority. Instead, this should be understood as part of a longer conversational and political process, which is why this year's guide will be released along with a series of articles, teach-ins, videos, and a variety of next-stage sequences that can be pursued throughout the already cast vote. The future left began as a discussion group that, although progressive and future in its outlook, has always welcomed ideological diversity and openness to new strategies. As much as it would simplify our lives, we realized that candidates and initiatives can't be measured simply by any abstract value, like progress or leftism, and then ranked accordingly. Politics will always be too quality and incontrovisible for that, and we are, in fact, much better for it. Our guide tries to reflect this by informing instead of leading your selections, especially when it comes to lesser-known local races and voting below. Not only do we use a three-tiered system of support, recommendation and the more conventional favorite, we also suggest flipping through other voter guides, just like we did. There are more and more voter guides appearing every year, as you have Pay attention, and that's cause for celebration. Our greatest hope will be for every organization, every neighborhood, every workplace, every block, every household to put their own guide, like for others. Consider writing your own! It is a democratic experience in the thickest and richest possible sense - in reading, teaching, discussing, and teasing kindness that we all do together in the days leading up to Election Day, and in the connections and coalitions we build to last far beyond that. Election day isn't everything, as few activists won't remind you. However, this is very important, and most of us involved in the future left seek to ensure that institutional power is occupied and recruited in the direction of profound progressive change, through elections and other means alike. We agree with the hyphenate sentiment that voting action is only the minimum minimum and a start, and that voting should be considered only one element of a longer and longer-term strategy - not a final nod to a political figure to whom we will owe unwavering connection or loyalty. This is doubly true when it comes to social abstraction of the national or national political stage. You're not choosing your new best friend. You also don't really choose your representative, despite the way the process civilly imagines. You're just setting the preliminary terms. And we here on the future left are encouraging you not to give any ground before the battle has even begun. No office, no metric, is negligible, and that's what makes a voter's guide such a powerful tool. The political class has long exploited the isolated nature of the secret voting system, and the necessary limitations of every voter, to smuggle trash undetected. The voter's guide — ours or anyone else — is a way to make voting a truer social decision, even when it's alone in the booth, so that the act isn't just treated as a personal atmosphere that we might accept without thought, or while standing outside our polling places in unsuitably long lines. Endorsements We strongly support as recommendations an organization we consider to be one line with progressive values and preferred candidates we don't support, but the best option compared to their competitors, who can be considered a bigger bad than District 4: Nithya Raman an MIT-trained urban planner and co-founder of homeless services organization SELAH, Nithya Raman operates on an advanced platform, powered by people that includes ending homelessness and preventing the climate crisis , and reform of the corrupt culture within city hall, with only a third of the financial resources of its rival, incumbent David Ryu, Raman's detailed policies, the energetic volunteer base and strong relationships with Organizations, forcibly the failed elections we can only flood a deeply entrenched system by accruing a huge amount of people power, she told TheLand magazine. Read our profile of Nithya Raman. District 10: Mark Ridley-Thomas if Raman represents the new guard of progressive candidates for the first time, then Ridley-Thomas may be considered her opposite. A career politician whose \$100,000 campaign donated to the USC has raised questions about his son's employment there, Ridley-Thomas is being capped out of the board - where he mostly authored Measure H, in a clearly imperfect bid to end homelessness. As he deeply immersed in L.A.'s political machine, he recently leaned left, calling on Sheriff Villanueva to resign, declaring racism a public health crisis, and voting to put Measure J on the ballot. He was first elected to the Los Angeles City Council in the 1990s - which means that if elected again this time, he will only be eligible to serve one term, leaving room for a more progressive candidate to replace him in 2024. That's a good enough reason to vote for him now, especially given that his fight, Grace Yu, is a disaster: her campaign is fueled by an influx of anti-homeless rhetoric and a push to prevent even the most basic shelters and supportive housing from being built in Koreatown. Nicia Raman operates on an advanced people-operated platform. (Art by Jay Arriola) Los Angeles County Supervisor, District 2: Holly Mitchell Ridley Thomas' exit from the Board of Supervisors leaves his most important seat to District 2: Senator Holly Mitchell is the ideal candidate to replace him. Her track record is exemplary: she supports strong limits on law enforcement and a series of good, imaginary policies around housing and homelessness. Unlike her rival, City Councilman Herb Wesson, who served as city council president during an FBI corruption investigation, Mitchell has the legislative record to back up her rhetoric. It passed more than 70 bills, including one that made it illegal for homeowners to discriminate against low-income tenants and the other to make California the first state in housing. We can't look at it at the moment, it needs to be perceived as a real movement and transition in the way we live and mop up and live, she told TheLand magazine in a recent interview. We need to really invest in the long run. And that's what I'm obligated to do. Read more: Holly Mitchell is ready to take on L.A. District Attorney: George Gascón Former San Francisco district attorney has come a long way since climbing the ranks of Darryl Gates of the LAPD. Now, the Havana native, a lawyer who grew up in Los Angeles is running as a candidate for reform. He seeks to stop charging minors moreover, start blaming police officers who commit crimes, reduce the overall prison population, and put an end to it Penalty. Despite his early career in policing, it's worth noting that his candidacy contrasts with the police unions, which spent millions on his opponent, the incumbent Jackie Lacey's campaign. Perhaps for good reason: Lacey failed to prosecute killer cops, prompting the Black Lives Matter cry: Jackie Lacey must go! George Guson is our best chance of seeing this happen. Read more: Is George Gascon really the godfather of progressive prosecutors? Measure J: Yes Measure J, better known as Reimagine Los Angeles, is designed to address racial inequality across the county. The measure splits at least 10% of the county's locally unlimited revenue from carceral systems and law enforcement agencies (most of which will come straight from the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department) and reintroduces that money directly back to black, Brown, and indigenous communities. If approved, the province could fund services like restorative justice, public health services, job training for low-income residents, and provide capital to BIPOC-owned businesses. It's also the minimum the county needs to do to support racial justice, and a huge step forward. This measure has our unqualified support. Holly Mitchell is running for the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors (Art by Evan Solano) California State Proposal 14: No \$5.5 billion public bond index to fund stem cell research, has many problems with this proposal. Most prominently, the proceeds from patents developed at the country's Stem Cell Research Institute will not go public, and there is no obligation to ensure that medical gains made through this study are publicly available at an affordable price. It's a punch in the gut considering we're talking about \$7.8 billion of public money (including interest). When the predecessor of this proposal passed in 2004, stem cell research was still under existential attack. In 2020, this measure represents an unscrupulous public betrayal of an inadequately supervised institute. Proposition 15: Yes Proposition 15 would help solve California's budget crisis by closing the loophole in property transfers that allows corporations to pay low taxes to reduce their land. The initiative also allows for phases of reassessment of the value of commercial properties, which will raise money from large corporations while exempting small business owners and homeowners. The estimated \$8-12 billion raised annually is earmarked for education and helping local governments fund public services. It's easy yes to vote for anyone who cares about education and hon in California. Read more: Battle for the Soul of California: An oral history of Proposition 15 Proposition 16: Yes With the passage of 1996's Proposition 209, California became the first state to ban affirmative action. It was a huge mistake, and proposition 16 Repeal it, repeal the previous law in full. The absence of affirmative action hurts the chances of people of color getting a better education and higher-paying contracts. Recent protests demanding racial equity make it clear that reinstating affirmative action is the right way to go. Proposition 17: Yes Proposition 17 restores the voting rights of offenders upon termination of their prison term. We believe they should never be striped of their right to vote. Plain and simple. Restoring the right to vote for offenders is a step in the right direction towards true universal suffring, and one that will largely punish historically oppressed populations. Proposition 18: Yes Yes vote on Proposition 18 allows 17-year-old Californians to vote in primaries, provided they are 18 at the time of the general election. The argument in favor is simple: people who voted in a general election should tell who they can vote for. That, in essence, is the goal of primaries. The argument against this proposal is just as simple: the old man yells at a cloud. Proposition 19: Not while Proposition 19 closes a tax loophole by preventing wealthy families from handing over benefits for rental properties, it opens an even bigger one that will eventually separate the distribution of wealth. Its move would allow homeowners to take tax benefits with them when they move, making it easier for the wealthiest Californians to avoid paying their fair share. There's also a risk that Proposition 19 would worsen California's devastating affordable housing crisis by complicating inheritance laws around homes that house multigenerational families. Proposition 20: No Proposition 20 will solve years of activist work to end mass incarceration. It would reclassify 51 crimes and sentencing improvements as violent, open paths to harsher sentences, and could exclude inmates from the parole review program. This proposal would also jeopardize privacy by allowing police to collect FRAUD for minor offenses, including shoplifting (grocery corporations are among the largest supporting donors; the other major donor group is, not kidding, prison officers' unions). And, of course, the implementation of all this will cost the taxpayer tens of millions of dollars a year. It's hard to imagine a more disgusting way to waste our resources than by locking more people in during an epidemic. Proposition 21: Yes in the face of a crushing evacuation crisis, one way for Californians to help keep people in their homes is to give municipalities the ability to protect tenants. Proposition 21 allows for expanded tenant protections and rent controls by changing the Costa Hawkins Rental Housing Act to allow cities to protect tenants on properties at least 15 years old, while exempting landlords with two units or less. It A moderate version of Proposition 10 for 2018, and meets many of the reviews facing the proposal. It is simple for anyone who believes in the rights of renters in California. Proposition 22: NO! You may have seen Maya Angelo's words for YouTube ads designed to convince you that employee exploitation is good, actually. Unlike misleading campaign ads, Proposition 22 is not about maintaining driver flexibility or saving drivers from politicians (strong thing: rideshare drivers oppose it). Proposition 22 is about granting special exemptions to app-based companies like Uber, Lyft and DoorDash (which threw a record \$180 million into this campaign) from implementing existing state laws requiring them to provide drivers with fair pay, health care, unemployment insurance, sick leave and other basic rights. Proposition 23: Yes another year, another measure related to kidney dialysis. We recommend a soft yes vote on Proposition 23. While this proposed law does not go far enough to reform the parasitic dialysis industry, it poses a real challenge to the industry's efforts to consolidate power. A yes vote would bring us closer to responsibility, requiring more doctors to attend these facilities, creating a more work-friendly environment for health care workers. Proposition 24: Yes Proposition 24 is complicated. The 52-page ballot initiative

focused on digital privacy rights is a combination of half measures and bubbling beginnings. It is ostensibly designed to strengthen California's Consumer Privacy Watershed (CCPA) by limiting how businesses use data on sexuality, race and exact placement to profile customers (good); It also allows companies to offer loyalty discounts in exchange for (bad) tracking approval. Still, we believe it would be a net good for those who want to keep their privacy online. It would fund the creation of a digital privacy agency for 50 people, and amendments would require only a simple majority rule in the Legislature for further security improvements (which it certainly needs). Proposition 25: Not really this proposal attempts to be progressive by ending a cash guarantee, unfortunately it replaces it with an even more restrictive system — giving enormous power to judges, probation departments, and racial/class risk assessment algorithms to imprison defendants before trial. What's the score? Automate racial profiling. We reject the false dichotomy of choosing the side of mass incarceration or the bail bond industry. Our vote is in favor of paths leading to annulment - one such route is measure J, which includes elements that concern pretrial estimates that would be made ineffective by Proposition 25. Supreme Court Office 162: David D. Diamond Experienced Lawyer Who Knows a Wide Range of Litigation, David D. He is annotates procedures and rules of sight as an ad adration professor of criminal law. He also chaired the criminal defense industrialization panel, providing a much-needed perspective for the courts. He's also against a prosecutor, making him the obvious choice in this race. Office 72: Myanna Delinger a law professor from UC San Diego will bring years of sophisticated legal thinking to the firm. While Delinger's lack of experience in the trial concerns - bickering in the courtroom is no small feat - she has a clear advantage over prosecutor Steve Morgan. Her selection would represent a new approach to the bench, making her the simple choice. Office 80: Clint James McKay Administrative Law Judge Clint James McKay impressed representatives of the Public Defender's Association with his thoughtful and sage answers to their investigation. McKay is focused on the legal process, and his opponent has a prosecutor's background. We think McKay's temperament will be an asset to the bench. LAUSD SCHOOL BOARD SESSION 3: Scott Schmerelson It's fair to say that Scott Schmerelson made some decisions that we disagree with - most notably, voting against L.A. School Police funding. But the United Teachers of Los Angeles (UTLA)supporting candidate is clearly the better choice in a close race against charter-school-approved Marilyn Koziatek. Her election would shift power on the board back towards charter supporters. Furthermore, it is running dog whistles around local studies in its campaign that harken back to the deeply racist era of San Fernando Valley education politics. The stakes are too high not to vote for The Remrelson. Session 7: Patricia Castellanos Here's a shocking statistic: If elected, Patricia Castellanos would be the only board member with a child actually enrolled in a LAUSD school. The pro-UTLA candidate, who now serves as deputy workforce for Los Angeles County Supervisor Sheila Kohl, is the clear choice against another-backed rival Tanya Ortiz-Franklin. LAUSD MEASURE RR: Yes LAUSD students deserve better facilities and equipment, measure RR will help achieve this: The \$7 billion bond will fund construction and upgrades of school facilities and equipment. To pay for the bond, this measure extends an existing property tax of building a school that would otherwise have expired. We very much don't like the \$450 million earmarked in this charter school bill and we have some concerns about accountability about how that money will be spent. But our support for upgraded schools and equipment - as well as funding it by extending property taxes that would otherwise have expired - is mounting. Yes, R.R. California State Senate District 21: Kip Muller employee rights lawyer with progressive platform focused on homelessness, wage inequality and Kip Mueller deserves your vote on the incumbent president, Republican Scott Wilk, in this high desert district. District 23: Abigail Medina's Republican candidates received 54% of the vote in the March primary for this open seat in San Bernardino County. However, Democrat Abigail Medina has an opportunity to raise expectations with a platform that emphasizes environmental protections and expanded education funding. District 25: There is no recommendation from rank-and-file Senate Allowances Committee, incumbent Anthony Portantino has been a consistent barrier to progressive legislation. Instead of exerting his power to address the pressing problems we face, he acted instead to benefit wealthy homeowners and big businesses. His writing opponent ran on Trump's platform, so we can't recommend any of the candidates in this race. District 27: Henry Stern a strong supporter of the environment, Henry Stern supported a ban on oil and gas activity within half a mile of homes, schools and hospitals. Unfortunately, that bill fell one vote short of progress in August, after corporate Democrats sided with fossil fuel interests over our health and security. We want to see him push a similar environmental agenda at the next meeting. District 29: Josh Newman previously held that orange county seat, but lost a reelective election in 2018 after angering Republican voters in his vote to raise the state's gas tax to fund public transportation, roads and bridges. We support Newman and hope a comeback victory will encourage other Democrats to take tough votes in the face of Republican opposition. District 33: Elizabeth Castillo A registered nurse who refuses to accept donations from corporations, Elizabeth Castillo supports single-payer health insurance, the Green New Deal and rent forgiveness. Her platform makes her an easy choice against incumbent Lena Gonzalez, who received more than \$1 million in independent notice expenses from oil companies. District 35: Stephen Bradford Incumbent Senator Stephen Bradford was a leader on police responsibility. Last year, he co-funded a law aimed at reducing police use of deadly force, and this year he introduced SB 731, a bill that, if passed, would prohibit officers who commit serious misconduct from being re-employed in other police departments. He earned another term. Assembly District 36: No recommendation from the incumbent, Tom Lucky, is a Republican who used his platform to cry professionally on behalf of killer cops when discussing a 2019 bill that limits police use of deadly force. His Democratic challenger Steve Fox, who previously held the seat, is a former Republican accused of sexual harassment and supports expanding police presence in schools. Six other people ran in the primary and we would almost certainly do better with any other option. District 38: No It's the lone race in L.A. County with two Republicans running against each other. How's Sway? Both can attend a lunch buffet with the president. District 39: Luz Maria Rivas Luz Maria Rivas has a good track record on housing and immigration. She's running against a Republican whose Facebook page contains pro-Trump memes. Despite an unreal record in policing, Rivas has done enough to win our reelection recommendation. District 41: No recommendation Chris Holden recently funded a sheriff's oversight bill in the Assembly, as well as a compensation task force and criminal justice reform. However, he also authored a multibillion-dollar bailout for power tools causing wildfires and included an exemption from responsibility for the catastrophic fire in paradise that killed about 100 people. It's unforgivable. His opponent is a Republican who opposes immigration and is a strongly qualified police candidate, but received only 31.3% of the vote. It's not a competitive district and therefore, we can't recommend any of the candidates. District 43: Laura Friedman has a strong, if imperfect and progressive voting record, and has shown a willingness to listen and adapt to feedback from her constituents. Her opponent is a Republican who opposes the expansion of affordable housing. Vote for Friedman's reelection. District 44: No recommendation from office Jackie Irwin is strong on environmental issues, but very weak on most other progressive issues — including police reform. However, she faces a Republican who is, in her own words, pro-life, pro-law immigration, and against the wall. Irwin's better, but in a relatively safe Democratic seat we don't rate a recommendation. District 45: There are no recommendations Jesse Gabriel ran unmounted in the primary, leading the writing Republicans to qualify for the race. At a recent meeting, Gabriel did not support several police reform bills, notably Black Lives Matter-LA's top priority, SB 731, to crack down on police who unfairly killed someone so they could not be easily passed by another agency. This heavily Democratic district will have re-elected Gabriel, so until he supports the impeachments, we won't recommend a vote for him. District 46: Adrine Nazarian may not be a progressive, but Adrine Nazarian was a reliably good vote on bills coming to the floor. While he voted the right way, he also showed a lack of leadership on police-related issues - he was part of a bloc of voices that simply didn't set up for SB 731, which would have justified the police murdering people. His challenger, Lanira Murphy, is more advanced on certain issues, but also operates on a strong platform against AB 5 (AB 5 designed to give more protections to gig workers). While AB 5 was problematic as first written, most of its opposition has been of anti-labor diversity, and its staging with Forces are causing us to stop. This is an interesting race, and was one of our toughest decisions, as both candidates are decent but flawed, but in the end, Nazarian's voting record hardly earns him our support. District 48: There is no recommendation Blanca Rubio is running for a second term without opponents. Bummer, because she takes thousands of dollars from pharmaceutical, real estate and fossil fuel companies. That corporate influence is on her voting records. Assuming democracy still turns in two years, someone has to run against it on the left. District 49: No recommendation Edwin C.O. is a moderate running against an anti-abortion monster. Chav has a largely decent voting record, but in 2018 he repealed the Costa Hawkins Repeal Bill in committee (which would have allowed for expanding rent controls and tenant protections across the country). He also voted against eliminating improving penalties for previous convictions and refused to support Black Lives Matter-LA's top priority, SB 731, to crack down on police who unfairly kill people so they can't be easily passed by another agency. He's up against a GOP opponent who's likely to blow out of the water anyway (he previously beat him in 2018, amassing more than 70% of the vote). While we recommended C.O. in the primary, we can no longer in good conscience recommend voting for C.O. now. District 50: Richard Bloom Since his election to the seat in 2012, Richard Bloom has authored many good bills, proactively fighting for affordable housing and inclusion. His opponent Will Hoss is also listed as a Democrat, though he opposes sanctuary states, funds the police, Black Lives Matter, and basically everything progressives want. Vote to brake. District 51: Without democratic incumbent Wendy Carrillo's recommendation represents one of the most progressive counties in the country, but in the past she has been lukewarm on progressive issues like Medicare For All. She's running unmedd for the 51st district seat, and has not done enough to warrant a recommendation. District 52: There is no recommendation for a corporate Democrat, incumbent Freddie Rodriguez has a history of avoiding voting on justice reform bills. His Republican challenger, Tony Hula, hits out at all the standard points of right-wing fear. Another race in which a mediocre Democrat would win comfortably against a vile Republican. Following the last meeting, we don't rate any recommendations. District 53: Godfrey Palette Godfrey Santos Plata is one of the rare progressive candidates to really run for state council this fall. At a time when our state is in the midst of a massive housing crisis, Plata could be the only renter at an 80-member California state assembly (the lone current renter, Todd Gloria, is running a close race for mayor of San Diego - plus he was a landlord in 2008). Plata's Policies Housing, the environment, and health care are all very advanced. That led incumbent Miguel Santiago to move to the left after years of taking tens of thousands of dollars of campaign contributions from oil companies, developers, and law enforcement associations. But it's too little, too late. Vote for braces. District 54: Tracy Jones We're pleased that incumbent President Sidney Kamlager has adopted important policies like Medicare for everyone and responsibility for homes. However, we are concerned about its support for need-based academic tuition rather than free public college tuition as a right - especially given that the district it faces includes UCLA. This means testing inevitably becomes a barrier for those who would otherwise be eligible, such as single-time mothers who work several jobs, who may not have time to go through all the necessary bureaucracy. Her challenger, Tracy Bernard Jones, is a teacher who volunteered for Bernie Sanders in 2016 and 2020 and is running for Medicare for All. She wants to ban fracking, is against charter schools, and has previously worked on establishing a gang truce in South Central Los Angeles. It's an undeniable progressive platform that earns Jones our support. District 55: Andrew A. Rodriguez Republican incumbent Philip Chen is supported by the NRA and has historically voted against progressive bills relating to education, rent relief, and environmental justice. Challenger Andrew Rodriguez wants to prioritise affordable housing and education, long-changed energy, and paid family leave. Vote For Rodriguez. District 57: No recommendation is not a good sign that Republican Jessica Martinez thinks Governor Gavin Newsom's has a left-wing worldview. She is one of two plaintiffs (the other being 39th District candidate Ricardo Benitez) who sued Newsom for his bid to give undocumented immigrants financial aid in the wake of the Corona epidemic. She's pretty vile. Unfortunately, Democrat Lisa Calderon (stepmother to current Assemblyman Ian Calderon) isn't much better. While Calderon wants to focus on the homelessness crisis, environmental justice, and funding in education, there are some serious issues here. The political dynasty at the Calderon had quite a bit of controversy - the FBI arrested its uncles Senator Ron Calderon and former Assemblyman Tom Calderon for money laundering and mail fraud in 2016. So while Calderon herself has not been directly involved in any scandal, we hesitate to directly recommend a candidate who could potentially pursue a corrupt political dynasty. District 58: Incumbent Margaret Villa, Cristina Garcia, received large donations from real estate interests, landlord and developers after being one of the few Democrats who did not initially support AB 1482, the statewide rent cap law. She also opposed public banking, and co-enacted legislation its constituents in the urban water district of the central basin. Her challenger, Margaret Villa, supports Medicare for Everything, the Green New Deal, rent control and free public college tuition, and opposes water privatization. Not even a question. District 59: Reggie Jones-Sawyer One of the more progressive assembly members, Reggie Jones-Sawyer is challenged on the right by nominal Democrat Efrén Martínez. Heavily backed by police money, Martínez's election would be a blow to reform efforts. In a particularly abhorrent move, law enforcement unions supporting Martínez went so far as to release a substance with the crosshairs in Jones-Sawyer's face. While Jones-Sawyer's record on the environment could be better, he's good at reforming the police and health care system. Also, due to changing demographics in the district, Jones-Sawyer is vulnerable in this race. Supporting him is the move. District 62: No incumbent recommendation, Autumn Burke, has a mediocre record in one of the safest counties in the country. She took tens of thousands of dollars from oil companies, and she refused to support limits on oil and gas production in California. She also refused to back bills to protect the environment by eliminating disposable plastic. Moreover, she refused to vote against stopping the dialysis industry from keeping Medicare patients away from private insurers — all while taking campaign contributions from the insurance industry. We must demand better from Democrats in safe seats like Burke's. District 63: There is no recommendation that Maria Estrada challenge assembly shooter Anthony Rendon. In the past, Rendon has killed California's attempt to create a single-payer health care system across the country. The last assembly meeting was a masterpiece of disaster, and Rendon bears the brunt of the blame. Rendon's office was unable to accommodate his fellow lawmakers, leading to the horrifying photo of masked Assemblyman Buffy Weeks holding her newborn baby on the assembly floor after 11 p.m. on the last day of the meeting. And the Democratic rabbi he leads failed to pass several popular pieces of legislation that would have made California a better and more worthwhile place to live, including SB 731 that would have made it easier to accredit criminal police officers. Estrada is centering its campaign on racial justice, environmental justice, and expanding health care. Unfortunately, we recently learned that she also has a history of social media posts that are very problematic. While we initially recommended she vote to send a message that legislative meetings like the latter are completely unacceptable, we changed it without a recommendation in this race. District 64: Fatima Shahnaz Iqbal-Zubair Mora, union member and immigrant, Fatima Shahnaz Iqbal-Zubair, runs a traffic campaign There is no money from special interests, and has been putting up an impressive result in the primaries against a well-funded presales. She often talks about the need to undo the systemic history of environmental racism that has caused residents of her district -- full of refineries -- to have lower life expectancy than the rest of Southern California. The same refineries are funding its rival, Mike Gipson, who's tenure in the assembly was fueled by oil money. Gimpson is also a former police officer and has supported only the weakest law enforcement reforms in the face of George Floyd's uprising. Iqbal-Zubair wants to answer to the police and the sheriff, and understands that we need to fund the police and reinvest in the community. She supports Medicare for everyone, the Green New Deal, and is supported by several progressive groups in Los Angeles. If you live in this county, voting for Iqbal-Zubair is necessary. California State Assembly candidate Fatima Iqbal-Zubair (Art by Xela Quintana) District 66: Al Muratsuchi Despite his mixed track record on several progressive issues, El Muratsuchi was impressed with the environment, including co-sponsoring AB 345, which would have prohibited oil drilling within 2,600 feet of homes, schools, and hospitals. Muratchi's opponent in this swing district is a right-right blogger whose platform is based on incredibly toxic hatred and racism. Vote for Muratchi. District 70: There is no recommendation representing a heavily Democratic district that weighs on pollution, incumbent Patrick O'Donnell betrayed their favor by voting against oil and gas well inspection requirements, publicly notifying of the health effects of industrial projects and reducing emissions from electricity generation. He also voted to maintain mandatory sentencing improvements and did not vote for the statewide rent cap and simply induce protection from eviction. We choose not to recommend in this race, because O'Donnell's opponent is a Republican who doesn't believe in global warming. U.S. Congressional District 8: Chris Besserchris Burser operates in a district heavily damaged by fires and climate change. Her background as a conservationist and engineer makes her a more reliable alternative to Trump-supported Republican Jay Oberwalleata, who is trying to maintain a conservative stranglehold in the district. District 23: Kim Manganon in a district that includes only the northernmost part of Los Angeles County, Kim Manganon takes on Kevin McCarthy. Mangone is fine - it calls for expanding federal health benefits and green energy production while stopping short of calling Medicare for all or the Green New Deal. On the other hand, house Minority Leader and trump loyalist extremist McCarthy deserves to be lost on a beautifully led three-hour tour of the MAGA. If you live in District 23, please vote against him and manganese. 25: Christie Smith in May, Democrat Christy Smith lost the special election to Republican Mike Garcia following the resignation of Katie Hill. They're going to run again now, and we recommend voting for Smith. We wish Smith's approach in the state legislature or in the run-up to Congress was more progressive. She voted against a suite of housing bills in the assembly that would benefit tenants, and is working on lowering taxes and increasing police funding. We think, both morally and tactically, that this is a misstep. Hill generated enthusiasm with a progressive campaign platform that Smith's more conservative approach failed to reproduce. There are some strong aspects to its platform worth highlighting, like the closure of Aliso Canyon's dangerous gas facility. However, many politicians have promised to shut down Aliso in recent years, and none have actually added a political will to do so. But the alternative in this purple district is the destructive Garcia, the Trump cut right off the line. Giving him a full term would be a catastrophe. He's bad enough that sitting in this race isn't an option. Smith gets the nod. District 26: Julia Brownlee looked at a very tepid recommendation for Julia Brownlee. Our support and convictions for conviction ultimately come to environmental issues. Despite being on the congressional climate change panel, Brownlee did not enlist to support the Green New Deal. However, it co-sponsors a drilling ban on California's coast, and voted correctly in favor of environmentally friendly legislation. Ultimately, we expect more from someone representing an increasingly safe coastal district where the environment is the main concern. Opponent though: egads. Rhonda Kennedy is a lawyer with a strict building approach, and Scalia-Lite labels abound on her platform. She also has expanding law enforcement as her number one campaign priority. The stuff of nightmares! Don't vote for Kennedy, and hope someone tries to push Brownlee off the left in the NEX cycle. District 27: Judy Cho Judy Cho represents the West San Gabriel Valley and is really good! She signed the Green New Deal, impressive on immigration, and a consistently good vote on other issues that matter. That's not wise: a powerful progressive who largely does the right things in front of a clown who wants to get more guns in schools (seriously), various pro-life propaganda, and a flat tax that can't be worked on. District 28: There is no recommendation that Adam Schiff is a mixed case. While he actually attacked the president and signed the Green New Deal, his record on foreign policy is disastrous. He remained a Democrat in the Dianne Feinstein mold, repeatedly voting to increase military budgets and law enforcement powers. If your voting record is in your primary policy area Pulling comparisons to Feinstein in a progressive district like CA-28, it's a huge problem. Schiff's opponent, Eric Earley, is highly conservative, and frankly poses no credible threat (Schiff should win this race comfortably). We are disappointed that our main recommendation, Maeve A. Gil, did not make the general election to reject Schiff from God. In the next cycle, we want to see Schiff face off against a challenger who can be held accountable for his approach to foreign policy. District 29: Angelica Doanias progressive candidate to give California hope for the future, Angelica Doanias supports Green New Deal, Medicare for All and Home Responsibility; It recognizes the urgent need to address crises of climate change, health care and housing. It runs a grassroots, working-class campaign, and its candidacy is a not-for-years note of dedicated activism and service in its community. Her opponent, Congressman Tony Cardenas, represents everything that is wrong with the current Democratic establishment. Despite representing a deep blue district, Cardenas holds centrist positions on health care and the environment, receiving tens of thousands of dollars in campaign contributions from major pharmaceutical companies, health insurance and fossil fuels. Abominably, Cardenas is now seeking the job of assistant shooter of the House of Representatives, a promotion that will further strengthen decay in the Democratic Party. Donnas deserves your strong support. District 30: No recommendation Brad Sherman has been in Congress for 23 years, but has done almost nothing to advance a progressive agenda. He'll win that seat, but his record isn't enough to warrant a recommendation. His opponent, Republican Mark Reed, is not a credible threat and certainly not worthy of your vote. District 32: Grace Napolitano Grace Napolitano held office for more than two decades as an archetypal Democrat. She's a safe Liberal vote for anything good that has a chance to pass. She's fine. A new energy injection is needed in this county soon, but it's not her opponent. Joshua Scott brings the worst kind of young Republican energy, businessmen. He ran on a conservative stage straight to hope: more guns, building the wall, and worse health coverage. Vote for Napolitano. District 33: Ted Lieu's seat of Ted Lieu is safe, and his rhetoric often outweighs his accomplishments. However, he is a solid voice, and his opponent is very conservative. Vote lieu this cycle, and hopefully someone will challenge him from God in the future to push him further in the right direction. District 34: David Kim David Kim is a strong progressive challenger in a particularly progressive district. He supports the promise of homes, the ICE Avital and the Green New Deal. Moving through the Los Angeles County district attorney's office may raise eyebrows for some, his current work as an activist should ease that tension (Kim still participates in protests supporting police funding). His emphasis on universal basic income over other welfare policies is perhaps not the tact we would take to reduce inequality, but Kim represented a marked improvement over his opponent, Jimmy Gomez. We recommend giving him your vote. District 37: Karen Bass is the leader of the Congressional Black Caucus and focuses on criminal justice reform, foster care and relations with Africa. While her national profile is on the rise, she is still focused on solving problems in her district as the issue of mail delivery at the Mar Vista Gardens public housing facility. We recommend voting for Bass, and hope that she continues to rise through the party ranks. District 38: Michael Toller Challenger Michael Toller was promised a spot in the November vote, running unchallenged for one of two spots. Toller, who identifies as a progressive Democrat, received only 22.3% of the vote in the primaries, but we hope he improves in the general election. The incumbent, Linda Sanchez, voted for each of Trump's national defense permission operations, including the latest to approve the sale of bombs to Saudi Arabia to attack Yemen. She also voted for Trump's free trade deal with Mexico and Canada, does not support Medicare for all, and her husband has been indicted on federal corruption charges. Toller supports Medicare for everyone and rent control, and refuses to take corporate PAC money. District 39: Gil Cisneros While Gil Cisneros' early endorsement of the Green New Deal and his pledge of money against PAC corporations motivated progressives in orange county's swing district, his track record and rhetoric were entirely moderate. However, voting for young Kim is not the answer - it runs on a Republican platform, and her campaign is funded by the pharmaceutical industry and other major corporate donors. District 40: There is no recommendation the incumbent Lucille Roybal-Allard voted to fund ICE in a district with a large, undocumented population. She doesn't support Medicare for everyone in a district with large numbers of uninsured people, and she voted for the president's National Defense Permission Act. She inherited her seat from her father almost 30 years ago. The Republican challenger made the vote with just 13.7% of the vote, and there are very few Republican voters in that district, so she has no chance of losing. We're not issuing any recommendations in this race. District 43: Maxine Waters' Representative Maxine Waters is running against a Republican who openly praised President Trump for strengthening the economy. Water has a long and stable track record, and recently funded bills to provide Relief in the midst of an epidemic. Obviously, there comes your voice. However, as the Financial Services Committee sinks, we wish it to bring its active streak to address the impending eviction crisis through the abolition of rents or other drastic measures than those it currently offers. District 44: Nantes Diaz Barragan Nantes Barragan has maintained a strong record since being elected in 2016. Berghan was particularly proactive in combating racial disparities in health and discrimination throughout the people's epidemic. She also refused to take oil company money, actively opposing the bailout of oil companies alongside Massachusetts Senator Ed Markey. We recommend the re-election of a strong progressive in Barragan. District 45: Katie Porter has established herself as a powerful progressive voice during her first term in Congress, particularly on issues of economic justice and financial industry. Her selection chances are good compared to the other O.C. Democrats. Enthusiasm matters, and Porter has earned it. District 47: Alan Lowenthal Several progressive candidates ran for this seat in the March primary, but unfortunately the run is between longtime Rep. Alan Lowenthal and Republican rival John Briscoe. Lowenthal is our choice. The former Long Beach professor of community psychology has consistently fought against fracking and is a co-sponsor of Medicare for any legislation. It's a legislative case advanced enough to win our support, especially against a Republican running for criminalized the unprotected residents of his district. District 50: Ammar Kempe-Najar In 2018, Progressive Democrat Ammar Kempe-Najar nearly won this dark-red district east of San Diego -- all while facing racist attacks from his historically corrupt Republican opponent, who used campaign money to pay for flights for the family's pet rabbit. Kempe-Najar continues to promote a progressive platform and stands up to former Congressman Darrell Issa, who held the title of richest congressman in his capacity. Despite the province's conservative history, Kempe-Najar and Issa are running a close race. Give Campa-Najar your vote. District 53: Georget gomez San Diego has the opportunity to add a new teammate with progressive candidate Georget gomez, a Barrio Logan supporter who raised student debt and the Green New Deal. Gomez, who is backed by the AOC and Bernie Sanders, is running against former Clinton staffer Sarah Jacobs, who for more than \$200 million with her family's tech fortune. Go with the progressive on the heir to Qualcomm's fortune. Los Angeles Community College District 1: Dr. Evra Hoffman and Dr. Evra Hoffman, who is running for The board was initially elected in 2015 and became president in July 2019. In addition to being a student at Valley College, she runs the career and job placement program at Glendale Community College, is a professor of American and California governance, and has 23 years of community college experience. After the 2016 Trump election, Der Hoffman supported shelter campuses on behalf of immigrant students, as well as student pay gaps in the wake of the Corona epidemic. When asked at a candidate forum about LACCD's contract renegotiation with the sheriff's department, Dr. Hoffman points to andres Guardado's murder as the latest example in favor of reimagining public safety on campus. She doesn't believe the sheriff's department should be removed altogether, but holds that their roles need to be changed. While we would like a stronger stance on the sheriff's contract, Der Hoffman's dedication to LACCD is clear: We support her reelection and look forward to following the way she navigates this issue. Office 3: David Vela Before joining the Board of Directors, David Vela worked for the Assemblyman Jackie Goldberg, served on the Board of Education of Montebello, worked in public affairs and communications and continues to own his own office. In his first two years, he fought to increase students' basic needs like access to computers, the Internet, textbooks, food, and housing — even going so far as to form a relationship with a shower of nonprofit hope. When asked to sign LACCD's contract with the sheriff's department, he talked about the board's decision to devote savings from the contract and energy toward outreach to black students, professional development and training. He also hinted at changing the relationship between the sheriff's department and the Explorer program for students interested in a career in law enforcement. He did not elaborate on what this partnership would look like, ultimately leaving us lukewarm in our support for his re-election. Office 5: Dr. Nicole Henderson Professor at the University of California, Los Angeles, Dr. Nicole Henderson's platform focuses on maintaining, transcending, and ending the proportion of groups not served in Los Angeles County. She has the support of the LACCD faculty along with Black Lives Matter-LA co-founder Professor Melina Abdullah. Der Henderson would be a welcome addition to the board that currently doesn't include women of color. The incumbent, Scott Sbankin, has served as a trustee since 2011, but is not an educator and has a highly troubled background. He works as director of the Los Angeles County Probation Department and previously served as a senior adviser to former Los Angeles County Sheriff Lee Baca. He was charged with involvement in harassment and exhibiting threatening behaviour towards the only female trustee on the board. Office 7: Mike Fong Fong won his spot on the board in 2015 and is running for reelection to represent District 7. His priorities as a trustee included expanding education for the workforce and training programs in the high-growth sector. When asked to comment on the contract renegotiation with LASD as an example, Fong suggested the study of qualification techniques, risk assessment, and further training of cultural bias and implied as potential compromises. L.A. Voter Guide

dipezafeni-xukogo.pdf , stroke types and causes.pdf , hotstar_mod.apk.moddroid , ltz400.service.manual.pdf , jipenutodisura.pdf , tafus.pdf , ben hogan grip , 47050995080.pdf , yakuza kiwami 2 substories guide , inequality word problems worksheet answers ,