

ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

The Decisions Behind High Performance

In today's fast-moving business environment, our eyes feast on eccentric and sophisticated buildings year after year. Attention often gravitates toward the speed of execution and efficiency of processes, oftentimes at the expense of efficacy. Most people would likely arrive at the same conclusion: those with weak foundation cannot withstand the test of time – for how quickly they are built cannot always compensate for what is lacking at their core. Conversely, one with a solid underpinning must have been born of sound decisions made throughout the building process. With each layer purposeful and each element aligned with the whole, its strength becomes an inevitable truth. Organizations are no different; behind every great business outcome is a series of quality decisions engineered to create momentum, and, eventually, bring sustainable success.

A high-caliber decision-making process isn't a series of ad hoc choices, nor can it rest solely on the shoulders of lone leaders. It reflects a discipline embodied by the organization to deliver consistent results. While execution remains important, a robust decision architecture is an often-unseen foundation beneath a culture of accountability, efficiency, and superior performance. Agility, in this sense, is not simply about how quickly an action is taken; it is about arriving at sounder judgments with greater speed.



As organizational scale and complexity increase so too does decision friction. These less visible barriers delay action, dilute accountability, and create unintended consequences that undermine long-term objectives, and organizational effectiveness. Common hidden barriers to an effective decision-making process are as follows:



- ▶ **Unclear Decision Rights** – when ownership and authority are not clearly defined, decisions can become unnecessarily prolonged.
- ▶ **Excessive Layers of Approval** – multiple review and approval points create bottlenecks.
- ▶ **Competing Priorities** – fragmented decision-making results from teams being expected to respond to too many competing demands simultaneously.
- ▶ **Risk Aversion and Fear of Accountability** – reluctance to make timely decisions may be rooted in penalizing mistakes disproportionately.
- ▶ **Information Overload** – difficulty in distinguishing what is relevant from what is merely available does not lead to better decisions.

When you create a clear system for making decisions, your team knows:

Who actually makes the call.



What goals you are trying to reach.



How to balance potential risks against potential rewards.



Ultimately, what you build is a mirror of how well you decide. Superior outcomes are rarely the product of chance or luck; rather, they are as strong as the decisions that shaped them – how they are owned, challenged, collectively understood and collaboratively executed. As a continuous stream of decisions operates in this complex business environment, going fast in the wrong direction just gets you lost sooner. Organizational effectiveness depends on how your team makes decisions, not just how quickly you act. This is what makes decision quality not just an operational capacity, but a competitive advantage... and a superpower.



Reference

Rogers, P., & Blenko, M. (2006). Who has the D? How clear decision roles enhance organizational performance. Harvard Business Review, 84(1), 52–61. <https://hbr.org/2006/01/who-has-the-d-how-clear-decision-roles-enhance-organizational-performance>.



Anita Marian Sedano

Associate Consultant, Human Dynamic Philippines