

# Peer Reviewer Submission

Thank you for agreeing to peer review a manuscript for BAPIO Publications. This form is in use for peer review for the The Physician (2012) and Sushruta (2007).

BAPIO Publications has an open post-publication peer reviewing policy for all its submissions, since 2019. Your comments to the authors will be published alongside the final version of the article and any changes made as a result will be included in the website.

BAPIO Publications is currently awaiting enlistment in Crossref, DOI and will be hosted on a scientific collection as well as Google Scholar. In 2022, it will be submitted for indexing. You can check the editorial board membership, editorial policy, previous editions and current issue on the websites

<https://www.thephysician.uk>

<https://www.sushruta.net>

For any queries please contact [editor.thephysician@bapio.co.uk](mailto:editor.thephysician@bapio.co.uk)

Published by BAPIO Publications for British Association of Physicians of Indian Origin since 1986.

The Physician Print ISSN 1987 6329

**\*Required**

1. Email address \*

---

2. Please confirm the journal you are submitting your peer review for \*

*Tick all that apply.*

The Physician

Sushruta

3. Please confirm your full name and qualifications \*

---

4. Please confirm your institutional affiliation, experience or expertise in relation to the article to be reviewed \*

---

5. Please confirm if you may have any conflict of interest or personal relationship with any of the authors that may be of relevance

*Tick all that apply.*

	Column 1
No conflicts	<input type="checkbox"/>
I may have a conflict of interest	<input type="checkbox"/>
May know authors but no conflict	<input type="checkbox"/>

6. Please copy and paste the citation for the article to be peer reviewed in the box below. including version number \*

---

7. Please rate the relevance of the data/ research/ argument/ presented in the different sections of the manuscript based on the following criteria \*

*Mark only one oval per row.*

	Poor	Satisfactory	Good
Relevant or Original topic	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Writing style	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Data/ argument	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tables / Figures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Consistent Conclusions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
References	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

- 8. Based on your review what would be your recommendation to the editors? (this will not be published online)

*Tick all that apply.*

	Column 1
Recommend rejection	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recommend acceptance	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recommend acceptance with minor changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recommend acceptance with major changes	<input type="checkbox"/>
Would you be willing to review a resubmitted version	<input type="checkbox"/>

- 9. Please write your comments and recommendations to the authors (this will be published online). Please comment on subject, methods, results, discussion, conclusions and references. \*

---

---

---

---

---

- 10. Please write a brief summary of your recommendations to the editors (this will not be published) including comments on writing style, grammar, figures and tables. Highlight any inconsistencies with the current literature.

---

---

---

---

---

11. Please mention here any further recommendations that you wish to make to the Editorial Board.

---

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms