**IELTS WRITING ANSWER SHEET**

1. **SAU KHI HOÀN THÀNH XONG BÀI EM SẼ TRẢ LỜI NHỮNG CÂU HỎI SAU**

**Bài viết của em ( luôn nhớ max 300 words cho Task 2, max 160 words cho Task 1)**

Many old buildings protected by law are part of a nation’s history. Some people think they should be knocked down and replaced by new ones. Do you agree or disagree?

* Outline

Intro: 100% disagree

Body 1:

+ Main ideal: historical buildings provide us an insight of the past lives of a particular nation

+ Supporting idea: unlike a variety of documents or books, these historical buildings would give a particular and lively proof about houses that ancient citizen lived

+ Example: many old cities maintain those historical buildings like Taiwan, Paris

Body 2:

+ Main idea: historical buildings could raise the number of visitors

+ Supporting idea: The extravagant cities always have a unique beauty when they still keep their ancient vibes 🡪 what tourists enthusiastically embrace

+ Example: Paris – with Notre-Dame church

* [**🎁Vocabulary Band 8.0, Topic Culture - History**](https://www.ieltstutor.me/blog/culture)

Bai lam:

In this day and age, more and more contemporary attention has been placed on the view that those buildings which were constructed in a long time ago should be replaced by the cutting-edged ones in spite of their historical values. In my view, I am totally opposed to this view due to the reasons provided below.

To begin with, I assume that historical buildings have a permanent merit that cannot be marginalized in any age. To be particular, these ancient structures impart an overview of the traditions and history in the locality so they could strongly appeal the tourists, who are curious about an alien culture. In addition, historical buildings also play an important role in boosting the national citizen’s attendance at national identities, since the fact that it is dwellers who do not need familiarize themselves with such values tend to downplay the existence of ancient structures. Take Taiwan as an example, this country always places the emphasis on old buildings, thus, no erections or changes is allowed.

On top of that, maintaining historical buildings presents lucrative opportunities for tourism. Indeed, the combination of a modern life and ancient vibes is what tourists always enthusiastically embrace. Therefore, historical structures would generate an enormous number of foreign visitors, which undoubtedly increases national budget. For example, Paris is an extravagant city and ancient structures like Notre-Dame church has made it have a unique beauty, which is a precursor to the millions of worldwide visitors to there every year.

In conclusion, I highly believe that historical buildings having such advantages that are mentioned above should not be replaced by the modern ones.

**VIET LAI BAI LAN HAI**