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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: NON-DOMESTIC TREND

|  | NON-DOMESTIC INCIDENCE <br> \% OF TOTAL SAMPLE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $46.1 \%$ <br> $45.9 \%$ | 43.8\% | 44.0\% | 43.1\% | $45.8 \%$ |  |
|  |  | $43.5 \%$ | 44.0\% | 42.9\% |  | 8.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ——Based on Packs |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ - Based on Sticks |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ...-.. Thereof Counterfeit (based on Sticks) |
|  | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Q2 2015 | Q2 2016 | Q2 2017 | Q2 2018 | Q4 2018 | Q2 2019 |
| - | 99,895 | 99,916 | 100,733 | 100,686 | 100,873 | 100,698 |
| 닻 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: KEY MESSAGES

- Non-Domestic incidence at $38.3 \%$ (-7.5pp vs. 2018 Q4, -4.6pp vs. 2018 Q2) and counterfeit pack at $3.8 \%$ (+0.01pp vs. 2018 Q4, +0.8pp vs. 2018 Q2).
Main Non-Domestic brands (excl. counterfeit) found were:
- MARLBORO (PMI) at $18.7 \%$ (+0.5pp vs. 2018 Q4, -1.3pp vs. 2018 Q2) of total sample.
- PRINCE (BAT) at $3.3 \%(-0.5 p p$ vs. 2018 Q4, -1.1 pp vs. 2018 Q2) of total sample.
- L\&M (PMI) at $1.8 \%(-0.5 p p$ vs. 2018 Q4, $-0.04 p p$ vs. 2018 Q2) of total sample.

Main Non-Domestic market variants (excl. counterfeit) found were:

- DUTY FREE at $13.1 \%(-1.2 p p$ vs. 2018 Q4, -2.0 pp vs. 2018 Q2) of total sample.
- SWEDEN at 7.3\% (-1.8pp vs. 2018 Q4, -1.3pp vs. 2018 Q2) of total sample.
- POLAND at 2.9\% (-1.1pp vs. 2018 Q4, -0.1pp vs. 2018 Q2) of total sample.

Highest Non-Domestic incidence (excl. counterfeit) was found in:

- TRONDHEIM at 37.6\% (+9.0pp vs. 2018 Q4, +3.5pp vs. 2018 Q2).
- TROMSO at 35.5\% (-4.9pp vs. 2018 Q4, $-3.6 p p$ vs. 2018 Q2).
- OSLO at 35.2\% (-14.2pp vs. 2018 Q4, -7.5pp vs. 2018 Q2).


## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: KEY VARIANCES

| \% Of total sample based on number of sticks | $\mathrm{N}=100,698$ sticks (weighted) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q2 2019 | VS. Q4 2018 | VS. Q2 2018 |
| NON-DOMESTIC INCIDENCE (incl. counterfeit) | 38.3\% | -7.5pp | -4.6pp |
| MAIN MARKET VARIANTS (excl. counterfeit) |  |  |  |
| DUTY FREE | 13.1\% | -1.2pp | -2.0pp |
| SWEDEN | 7.3\% | -1.8pp | -1.3pp |
| POLAND | 2.9\% | -1.1pp | -0.1pp |
| MAIN BRAND FAMILIES (excl. counterfeit) |  |  |  |
| MARLBORO (PMI) | 18.7\% | +0.5pp | -1.3pp |
| PRINCE (BAT) | 3.3\% | -0.5pp | -1.1pp |
| L\&M (PMI) | 1.8\% | -0.5pp | -0.04pp |
| MAIN CITIES (excl. counterfeit) |  |  |  |
| TRONDHEIM | 37.6\% | +9.0pp | +3.5pp |
| TROMSO | 35.5\% | -4.9pp | -3.6pp |
| OSLO | 35.2\% | -14.2pp | -7.5pp |
| COUNTERFEIT INCIDENCE |  |  |  |
| TOTAL COUNTERFEIT INCIDENCE | 3.8\% | +0.01 pp | +0.8pp |
| BAT | 1.7\% | +1.4pp | -0.2pp |
| PMI | 1.2\% | -0.9pp | +0.5pp |
| JTI | 0.9\% | -0.1pp | +0.6pp |

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: INFLOW MATRIX

\% OF TOTAL SAMPLE Based on number of sticks

$=\quad N=100,698$ sticks (weighted)

|  | MAIN INFLOWS |  |  |  |  |  | VARIANCE VS. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | COUNTERFEIT | DUTY FREE | SWEDEN | POLAND | OTHERS | total | Q4 2018 | Q2 2018 |
| MARLBORO (PMI) | 1.0\% | 7.6\% | 4.0\% | 1.6\% | 5.5\% | 19.7\% | -0.5pp | -0.8pp |
| PRINCE (BAT) | 1.6\% | 1.7\% | 1.4\% |  | 0.2\% | 4.9\% | +0.8pp | -1.4pp |
| WINSTON (JTI) | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.6\% | -0.4pp | +0.3pp |
| L\&M (PMI) | 0.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | -0.4pp | -0.1pp |
| CAMEL (JTI) |  | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 1.6\% | -0.4pp | +0.2pp |
| LUCKY STRIKE (BAT) |  | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | -0.4pp | -0.03pp |
| Others | 0.2\% | 1.4\% |  | 0.6\% | 4.0\% | 6.0\% | -6.2pp | -2.8pp |
| TOTAL | 3.8\% | 13.1\% | 7.3\% | 2.9\% | 11.2\% | 38.3\% | -7.5pp | -4.6pp |
| VARIANCE VS. Q4 2018 | +0.01pp | -1.2pp | -1.8pp | -1.1pp | -3.4pp | .7.5pp |  |  |
| VARIANCE VS. Q2 2018 | +0.8pp | -2.0pp | -1.3pp | -0.1pp | -2.0pp | -4.6pp |  |  |

2. 

METHODOLOGY QUARTER 2, 2019


## OBJECTIVES, PROVISIONS, LIMITATIONS

## ObJECTIVES

Provide an indication on the incidence of non-domestic or illicit cigarettes consumed in NORWAY:

- Tax-paid and non-tax paid cigarettes from other countries
- Counterfeit cigarettes
- Gain information related to non-domestic or counterfeit cigarettes by: Manufacturer, City, Brand family, Market variant

PROVISIONS

- Participating companies: British American Tobacco (BAT), Imperial Tobacco (ITL), Japan Tobacco International (JTI), and Philip Morris International (PMI)
- Participating companies provided financial support for this study. MSIntelligence conducted the empty pack collection independently. The market variant of all collected packs and the authenticity of the collected packs bearing their packs trademarks were examined by the participating companies.
- MSIntelligence assumed limited responsibility for the report findings and conclusion. The objectives and limitations of the report are set out on this page. Should any party choose to rely on the report, they do so at their own risk.
- Non-domestic includes legal transportation


## LIMITATIONS

- Homes and workplaces are not covered
- No information on counterfeit incidence of non-participating companies
- The survey is designed to focus on trends rather than incidence at a single point in time


## RESEARCH APPROACH

## METHOD

Random collection from streets \& public bins. Any brand, any market variant.

## TIMINGS

Fieldwork:
$28^{\text {th }}$ May - $10^{\text {th }}$ June 2019

## REPORTING

The results are calculated based on number of sticks equivalent to the number of packs collected.

## GEOGRAPHY

The largest 8 cities

- $30.8 \%$ coverage of population
- Final data is weighted proportional to city population




## SAMPLE COMPOSITION: SHARE BY COMPANY



## SAMPLE COMPOSITION: SHARE BY BRAND




## NON-DOMESTIC: CATEGORIES

## NON-DOMESTIC INCIDENCE CATEGORIES

\% OF TOTAL SAMPLE

Counterfeit 3.8\%


Other
Non-Domestic
$34.5 \%$

## NON-DOMESTIC: BREAKDOWN



[^1]
## NON-DOMESTIC: BY MARKET \& CITY



## NON-DOMESTIC: BY BRAND FAMILY \& CITY

\% OF TOTAL SAMPLE Based on number of sticks

$=\quad N=100,698$ sticks (weighted)

|  | NON-DOMESTIC |  |  |  |  |  | VARIANCE VS. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MARLBORO | PRINCE | WINSTON | L\&M | Others | TOTAL | Q4 2018 | Q2 2018 |
| OSLO | 7.9\% | 2.1\% | 1.1\% | 0.8\% | 4.0\% | 15.9\% | -5.6pp | -2.4pp |
| BERGEN | 3.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.3\% | 6.6\% | -1.2pp | -0.6pp |
| TRONDHEIM | 2.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 1.1\% | 4.9\% | +1.2pp | +0.8pp |
| STAVANGER | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.7\% | 2.7\% | -1.2pp | -0.7pp |
| BAERUM | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.5\% | -0.2pp | -0.8pp |
| KRISTIANSAND | 1.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | -0.1pp | -0.7pp |
| FREDRIKSTAD | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | -0.3pp | -0.2pp |
| TROMSO | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% | -0.2pp | -0.1pp |
| TOTAL | 19.7\% | 4.9\% | 2.6\% | 1.9\% | 9.2\% | 38.3\% | -7.5pp | -4.6pp |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VARIANCE VS. Q4 2018 | -0.5pp | +0.8pp | -0.4pp | -0.4pp | -7.0pp | -7.5pp |  |  |
| VARIANCE VS. Q2 2018 | -0.8pp | -1.4pp | +0.3pp | -0.1pp | -2.6pp | -4.6pp |  |  |

## COUNTERFEIT: BREAKDOWN



## COUNTERFEIT: BY MAIN BRAND FAMILY \& CITY

```
\% OF TOTAL SAMPLE Based on number of sticks
```

$=\quad \mathrm{N}=100,698$ sticks (weighted)

|  | NON-DOMESTIC |  |  |  |  |  | VARIANCE VS. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRINCE | MARLBORO | WINSTON | L\&M | OTHERS | TOTAL | Q4 2018 | Q2 2018 |
| OSLO | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.02\% | 1.8\% | +0.2pp | +0.6pp |
| BERGEN | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.02\% | 0.04\% | 0.6\% | +0.1pp | -0.1pp |
| TRONDHEIM | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% |  | 0.02\% | 0.5\% | +0.1pp | +0.4pp |
| STAVANGER | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% |  |  | 0.3\% | -0.2pp | -0.02pp |
| FREDRIKSTAD | 0.1\% | 0.04\% | 0.04\% |  |  | 0.2\% | +0.1pp | +0.1pp |
| TROMSO | 0.1\% |  | 0.1\% |  | 0.04\% | 0.2\% | +0.02pp | +0.04pp |
| BAERUM | 0.02\% |  | 0.1\% |  |  | 0.1\% | -0.1pp | -0.1pp |
| KRISTIANSAND | 0.04\% |  |  |  |  | 0.04\% | -0.1pp | -0.1pp |
| TOTAL | 1.6\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 3.8\% | +0.01pp | +0.8pp |
| VARIANCE VS. Q4 2018 | +1.3pp | -1.0pp | -0.1pp | +0.1pp | -0.3pp | +0.01pp |  |  |
| VARIANCE VS. Q2 2018 | -0.3pp | +0.5pp | +0.6pp | -0.04pp | +0.1pp | +0.8pp |  |  |

## NON-DOMESTIC: INCIDENCE TREND

|  | NON-DOMESTIC INCIDENCE <br> \% OF TOTAL SAMPLE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $46.1 \%$ <br> $45.9 \%$ | 43.8\% | 44.0\% | 43.1\% | $45.8 \%$ |  |
|  |  | 43.5\% | 44.0\% | 42.9\% |  | 38.6\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 38.3\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ - Based on Packs |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\ldots$ - Based on Sticks |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ...@.. Thereof Counterfeit (based on Sticks) |
|  | 1.7\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 3.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.8\% |
|  | Q2 2015 | Q2 2016 | Q2 2017 | Q2 2018 | Q4 2018 | Q2 2019 |
| - | 99,895 | 99,916 | 100,733 | 100,686 | 100,873 | 100,698 |
| 5 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
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## NON-DOMESTIC: COMPANY TREND

\% OF TOTAL SAMPLE


## NON-DOMESTIC: BRAND FAMILY TREND



## NON-DOMESTIC: MARKET VARIANT TREND

## \% OF TOTAL SAMPLE

Based on number of sticks


## NON-DOMESTIC: CITY TREND

| SAMPLE |  |  | NON-DOMESTIC INCIDENCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CITY | PACKS | STICKS | 2015 Q2 | 2016 Q2 | 2017 Q2 | 2018 Q2 | 2018 Q4 | 2019 Q2 |
| OSLO | 2,012 | 40,447 | 46.2\% | 44.8\% | 43.4\% | 45.6\% | 53.3\% | 39.6\% |
| BERGEN | 866 | 17,447 | 44.5\% | 43.2\% | 43.3\% | 41.2\% | 44.9\% | 38.0\% |
| TRONDHEIM | 579 | 11,674 | 45.3\% | 43.2\% | 43.0\% | 34.9\% | 32.1\% | 42.2\% |
| STAVANGER | 419 | 8,451 | 47.9\% | 42.4\% | 42.9\% | 40.2\% | 46.4\% | 32.2\% |
| BAERUM | 376 | 7,580 | 48.0\% | 42.2\% | 43.1\% | 44.0\% | 36.1\% | 33.2\% |
| KRISTIANSAND | 273 | 5,494 | 40.1\% | 39.4\% | 49.1\% | 47.7\% | 36.9\% | 35.7\% |
| FREDRIKSTAD | 248 | 4,985 | 42.9\% | 44.1\% | 48.3\% | 43.5\% | 44.6\% | 38.8\% |
| TROMSO | 227 | 4,620 | 54.4\% | 41.9\% | 46.2\% | 42.6\% | 44.3\% | 39.8\% |
| TOTAL SAMPLE | 5,000 | 100,698 | 45.9\% | 43.5\% | 44.0\% | 42.9\% | 45.8\% | 38.3\% |

## COUNTERFEIT: BRAND FAMILY TREND




## NON-DOMESTIC: STICKS PER COMPANY

NUMBER OF STICKS PER CATEGORY AND COMPANY


| Domestic |
| :---: |
| $57.9 \%$ |
| $69.8 \%$ |
| $44.4 \%$ |
| $86.6 \%$ |
| $19.0 \%$ |


| Genuine NonDomestic | NonDomestic | Counterfeit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40.0\% | - | 2.1\% |
| 24.6\% | - | 5.6\% |
| 44.1\% | - | 11.5\% |
| 13.4\% | - | - |
| - | 81.0\% | - |

## NON-DOMESTIC: STICKS PER BRAND FAMILY



## NON-DOMESTIC: TREND TOTAL COMPANY SAMPLE

## NON-DOMESTIC INCIDENCE BY COMPANY - TREND

\% OF TOTAL COMPANY SAMPLE

|  |  | PMI | BAT | JTI | IMPERIAL TOBACCO | OTHERS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N($ Sticks $)=$ | 54,713 | 31,446 | 7.620 | 5,659 | 1,260 |
|  | Packs 析 | 2,737 | 1,572 | 381 | 246 | 64 |
|  | Non-Domestic | - | - | - | - | 81.0\% |
| 2019 Q2 | Counterfeit | 2.1\% | 5.6\% | 11.5\% | - | - |
|  | Genuine Non-Domestic | 40.0\% | 24.6\% | 44.1\% | 13.4\% | - |
|  | Non-Domestic | - | - | - | - | 88.5\% |
| 2018 Q4 | Counterfeit | 4.1\% | 1.0\% | 10.4\% | 7.4\% | - |
|  | Genuine Non-Domestic | 42.4\% | 35.7\% | 57.7\% | 31.8\% | - |
|  | Non-Domestic | - | - | - | - | 79.8\% |
| 2018 Q2 | Counterfeit | 1.4\% | 5.5\% | 3.8\% | - | - |
|  | Genuine Non-Domestic | 46.7\% | 29.2\% | 49.7\% | 17.5\% | - |

## OTHER NON-DOMESTIC: PACK EXAMPLES



## AGENCY CONTACTS



## REPORTING SUPPORT

Philippe Landi
Analyst Manager
philippe.landi@msintelligence.com
Tel (direct) +41-22-508-7704



[^0]:    TOTAL SAMPLE = DOMESTIC AND NON-DOMESTIC PACKS

[^1]:    * Unspecified: packs with no country specific or Duty-Free labelling

[^2]:    TOTAL SAMPLE $=$ DOMESTIC AND NON-DOMESTIC PACKS

